## Robotics 2

October 21, 2022

## Exercise

Consider the PR robot in Fig. 1, moving in a vertical plane.


Figure 1: A PR planar robot with the relevant dynamic parameters and variables.

1. Derive the dynamic model of the robot in the form

$$
\boldsymbol{M}(\boldsymbol{q}) \ddot{\boldsymbol{q}}+\boldsymbol{c}(\boldsymbol{q}, \dot{\boldsymbol{q}})+\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{q})=\boldsymbol{u}
$$

2. Find a linear parametrization of the dynamic model in the form

$$
\boldsymbol{Y}(\boldsymbol{q}, \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}, \ddot{\boldsymbol{q}}) \boldsymbol{a}=\boldsymbol{u}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$ has the minimal possible dimension $p$ (the gravity acceleration $g_{0}$ is known).
3. Design a control law $\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{u}\left(\boldsymbol{q}, \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}, \boldsymbol{q}_{d}\right)$ that globally asymptotically stabilizes the robot to the desired configuration $\boldsymbol{q}_{d}=(0, \pi)[\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{rad}]$, when only the total mass $m=m_{1}+m_{2}$ of the robot, the acceleration $g_{0}$, and the length $\ell_{2}$ of the second link are known.
4. Suppose that the robot is initially in equilibrium at $\boldsymbol{q}_{\text {in }}=\boldsymbol{q}(0)=(0,0)$. Under the action of the control law designed in step 3 , determine the sign of the initial acceleration $\ddot{q}_{1}(0)$ of the first joint, in case this is different from zero.
5. Assume now that all robot dynamic parameters are known. Show how it is possible to design a model-based command $\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{u}(t)$ that will transfer the robot from the state $(\boldsymbol{q}(0), \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}(0))=\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{i n}, \mathbf{0}\right)$ to the final state $(\boldsymbol{q}(T), \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}(T))=\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{d}, \mathbf{0}\right)$ in a given time $T>0$ and without moving the first joint.
6. The robot input commands are now limited as $\left|u_{i}(t)\right| \leq U_{i}, i=1,2$. Consider the rest-to-rest task of moving in minimum time $T$ from $\boldsymbol{q}(0)=\left(q_{1}(0), \bar{q}_{2}\right)$ to $\boldsymbol{q}(T)=\left(q_{1}(0)-\Delta, \bar{q}_{2}\right)$, with $\Delta>0$, while keeping the second joint constantly at $q_{2}=\bar{q}_{2}>0$. Determine the optimal solution in an analytic way and sketch the time-optimal profiles of $\ddot{q}_{1}(t), \ddot{q}_{2}(t), u_{1}(t)$ and $u_{2}(t)$ for $t \in[0, T]$.
[180 minutes; open books]

## Solution

October 21, 2022

## 1. Dynamic model

## Kinetic energy

$$
T=T_{1}+T_{2},
$$

with

$$
T_{1}=\frac{1}{2} m_{1} \dot{q}_{1}^{2}, \quad T_{2}=\frac{1}{2} m_{2}\left\|\boldsymbol{v}_{c 2}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} I_{c 2} \dot{q}_{2}^{2},
$$

where

$$
\boldsymbol{v}_{c 2}=\dot{\boldsymbol{p}}_{c 2}=\frac{d}{d t}\binom{q_{1}+d_{c 2} c_{2}}{d_{c 2} s_{2}}=\binom{\dot{q}_{1}-d_{c 2} s_{2} \dot{q}_{2}}{d_{c 2} c_{2} \dot{q}_{2}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad\left\|\boldsymbol{v}_{c 2}\right\|^{2}=\dot{q}_{1}^{2}+d_{c 2}^{2} \dot{q}_{2}^{2}-2 d_{c 2} s_{2} \dot{q}_{1} \dot{q}_{2} .
$$

Thus

$$
T=\frac{1}{2}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) \dot{q}_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(I_{c 2}+m_{2} d_{c 2}^{2}\right) \dot{q}_{2}^{2}-m_{2} d_{c 2} s_{2} \dot{q}_{1} \dot{q}_{2} .
$$

Inertia matrix

$$
T=\frac{1}{2} \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}^{T} \boldsymbol{M}(\boldsymbol{q}) \dot{\boldsymbol{q}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{M}(\boldsymbol{q})=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{m}_{1}(\boldsymbol{q}) & \boldsymbol{m}_{2}(\boldsymbol{q})
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
m_{1}+m_{2} & -m_{2} d_{c 2} s_{2} \\
-m_{2} d_{c 2} s_{2} & I_{c 2}+m_{2} d_{c 2}^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Coriolis and centrifugal terms

$$
\boldsymbol{c}(\boldsymbol{q}, \dot{\boldsymbol{q}})=\binom{\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}^{T} \boldsymbol{C}_{1}(\boldsymbol{q}) \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}}{\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}^{T} \boldsymbol{C}_{2}(\boldsymbol{q}) \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}}, \quad \text { with } \boldsymbol{C}_{i}(\boldsymbol{q})=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{m}_{i}(\boldsymbol{q})}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}}+\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{m}_{i}(\boldsymbol{q})}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}}\right)^{T}-\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{M}(\boldsymbol{q})}{\partial q_{i}}\right), i=1,2 .
$$

Since

$$
\boldsymbol{C}_{1}(\boldsymbol{q})=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & -m_{2} d_{c 2} c_{2}
\end{array}\right), \quad \boldsymbol{C}_{2}(\boldsymbol{q})=\boldsymbol{O}
$$

we have

$$
\boldsymbol{c}(\boldsymbol{q}, \dot{\boldsymbol{q}})=\binom{-m_{2} d_{c 2} c_{2} \dot{q}_{2}^{2}}{0}
$$

Potential energy and gravity terms

$$
U=U_{1}+U_{2}=-m_{1} g_{0} q_{1}-m_{2} g_{0}\left(q_{1}+d_{c 2} c_{2}\right)
$$

and so

$$
\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{q})=\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}}\right)^{T}=\binom{-\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) g_{0}}{m_{2} d_{c 2} g_{0} s_{2}}
$$

## Robot equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\boldsymbol{M}(\boldsymbol{q}) \ddot{\boldsymbol{q}}+\boldsymbol{c}(\boldsymbol{q}, \dot{\boldsymbol{q}})+\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{q})=\boldsymbol{u} \\
\Downarrow  \tag{1}\\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
m_{1}+m_{2} & -m_{2} d_{c 2} s_{2} \\
-m_{2} d_{c 2} s_{2} & I_{c 2}+m_{2} d_{c 2}^{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\ddot{q}_{1}}{\ddot{q}_{2}}+\binom{-m_{2} d_{c 2} c_{2} \dot{q}_{2}^{2}}{0}+\binom{-\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) g_{0}}{m_{2} d_{c 2} g_{0} s_{2}}=\binom{u_{1}}{u_{2}} .
\end{gather*}
$$

## 2. Linear parametrization

$$
\boldsymbol{Y}(\boldsymbol{q}, \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}, \ddot{\boldsymbol{q}}) \boldsymbol{a}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\ddot{q}_{1}-g_{0} & -s_{2} \ddot{q}_{2}-c_{2} \dot{q}_{2}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & -s_{2}\left(\ddot{q}_{1}-g_{0}\right) & \ddot{q}_{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
m_{1}+m_{2} \\
m_{2} d_{c 2} \\
I_{c 2}+m_{2} d_{c 2}^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with a minimal number $p=3$ of dynamic coefficients $a_{i}, i=1,2,3$.

## 3. Regulation control

Under the given assumptions, we can design a PD plus constant gravity compensation law as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{K}_{P}\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{d}-\boldsymbol{q}\right)-\boldsymbol{K}_{D} \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}+\boldsymbol{g}\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{d}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with diagonal gain matrices $\boldsymbol{K}_{P}>0, \boldsymbol{K}_{D}>0$ and with $K_{P m}>\alpha$, where $\|\partial \boldsymbol{g} / \partial \boldsymbol{q}\| \leq \alpha$. In fact, for $\boldsymbol{q}_{d}=(0, \pi)$, the control law (2) becomes simply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{u}=\binom{-K_{P 1} q_{1}-K_{D 1} \dot{q}_{1}-m g_{0}}{K_{P 2}\left(\pi-q_{2}\right)-K_{D 2} \dot{q}_{2}}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m\left(=m_{1}+m_{2}\right)$ and $g_{0}$ are known. Moreover,

$$
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{g}}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & m_{2} d_{c 2} g_{0} c_{2}
\end{array}\right) \Rightarrow\left\|\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{g}}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}}\right\|=\sqrt{\lambda_{\max }\left\{\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{g}}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}}\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{g}}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}}\right)^{T}\right\}}=m_{2} d_{c 2} g_{0}\left|c_{2}\right|<m \ell_{2} g_{0}=\alpha
$$

being $\ell_{2}$ also known. Thus, to guarantee global asymptotic stabilization to $\boldsymbol{q}_{d}$ with the control law (3) we choose

$$
K_{P m}=\min \left\{K_{P 1}, K_{P 2}\right\} \geq m \ell_{2} g_{0} .
$$

## 4. Initial acceleration

Isolating the acceleration $\ddot{\boldsymbol{q}}$ from the dynamic model (1) and evaluating it at $t=0$, with initial state $(\boldsymbol{q}(0), \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}(0))=(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})$ and when using the control law (3), gives

$$
\ddot{\boldsymbol{q}}(0)=\boldsymbol{M}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{q}(0))\left(\boldsymbol{u}(0)-\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{q}(0))=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{M}(\boldsymbol{q}(0))}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{c 2}+m_{2} d_{c 2}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & m_{1}+m_{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{0}{K_{P 2} \pi}\right.
$$

since in particular $q_{d 1}-q_{1}(0)=0$ and $u_{1}(0)-g_{1}(0)=-m g_{0}+\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) g_{0}=0$. Thus,

$$
\ddot{q}_{1}(0)=0 .
$$

This should not be unexpected, being the dynamics of the two joints fully decoupled in the initial state and joint 1 still at an equilibrium under the control law (3). Note that a simple PD control law without the gravity compensation term $\boldsymbol{g}\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{d}\right)$ in (3) would result in an initial acceleration $\ddot{q}_{1}(0)=g_{0}=9.81>0$, i.e., the first (prismatic) joint would initially slide downwards.

## 5. Inverse dynamics command

The desired motion task is obtained by using inverse dynamics on a suitable rest-to-rest trajectory interpolating the initial and final configuration in a given time $T$. Consider for instance the cubic polynomial trajectory

$$
\boldsymbol{q}_{d}(t)=\boldsymbol{q}_{i n}+\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{d}-\boldsymbol{q}_{i n}\right)\left(-2\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^{3}+3\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^{2}\right), \quad t \in[0, T]
$$

or, componentwise,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{d 1}(t)=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \dot{q}_{d 1}(t)=\ddot{q}_{d 1}(t)=0 \\
& q_{d 2}(t)=\pi\left(-2\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^{3}+3\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^{2}\right) \Rightarrow \dot{q}_{d 2}(t)=6 \pi\left(-\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)^{2}+\frac{t}{T}\right) \Rightarrow \ddot{q}_{d 2}(t)=12 \pi\left(1-2 \frac{t}{T}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Accordingly, the required command is computed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{u}_{d}(t) & =\boldsymbol{M}\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{d}(t)\right) \ddot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{d}(t)+\boldsymbol{c}\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{d}(t), \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{d}(t)\right)+\boldsymbol{g}\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{d}(t)\right) \\
& =\binom{-m_{2} d_{c 2}\left(\sin q_{d 2}(t) \ddot{q}_{d 2}(t)-\cos q_{d 2}(t) \dot{q}_{d 2}^{2}(t)\right)-\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) g_{0}}{\left(I_{c 2}+m_{2} d_{c 2}^{2}\right) \ddot{q}_{d 2}(t)+m_{2} d_{c 2} g_{0} \sin q_{d 2}(t)}, \quad t \in[0, T] .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 6. Minimum time motion

The problem can be formulated as a minimum-time motion on a prescribed path in the joint space, where $q_{1}$ needs to move between $q_{1}(0)$ and $q_{1}(0)-\Delta$ while $q_{2}$ is kept always at the constant value $\bar{q}_{2}$ (hence, $\dot{q}_{2}=\ddot{q}_{2}=0$ ). Since the velocity term $\boldsymbol{c}(\boldsymbol{q}, \dot{\boldsymbol{q}})=\mathbf{0}$ for $\dot{q}_{2}=0$, from eq. (1) the dynamics along this path is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{M}\left(\bar{q}_{2}\right)\binom{\ddot{q}_{1}}{0}+\boldsymbol{g}\left(\bar{q}_{2}\right)=\binom{m_{1}+m_{2}}{-m_{2} d_{c 2} \sin \bar{q}_{2}}\left(\ddot{q}_{1}-g_{0}\right)=\boldsymbol{u}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is parametrized by the single acceleration $\ddot{q}_{1}(t)$, with $s(t)=q_{1}(t)$ acting as the (scalar) timing law. Note that the two differential equations in (4) are linear and not independent. This means that one equation should be used in forward dynamics (with the optimal input command) to determine the acceleration $\ddot{q}_{1}$, while the other will be used in inverse dynamics to find the other input command.

For compactness, define the two constants

$$
a=m_{1}+m_{2}>0, \quad b=m_{2} d_{c 2} \sin \bar{q}_{2}>0 .
$$

being $\bar{q}_{2} \in(0, \pi)$ and thus $\sin \bar{q}_{2}>0$. Then, from (4) and using the bounds on the input commands, we have

$$
-U_{1} \leq a\left(\ddot{q}_{1}-g_{0}\right) \leq U_{1}, \quad-U_{2} \leq-b\left(\ddot{q}_{1}-g_{0}\right) \leq U_{2} .
$$

Manipulating the inequalities, we obtain that $\ddot{q}_{1}(t)$, for $t \in[0, T]$, is bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{-\frac{U_{1}}{a}+g_{0},-\frac{U_{2}}{b}+g_{0}\right\}=\ddot{q}_{1}^{-} \leq \ddot{q}_{1}(t) \leq \ddot{q}_{1}^{+}=\min \left\{\frac{U_{1}}{a}+g_{0}, \frac{U_{2}}{b}+g_{0}\right\} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

While $\ddot{q}_{1}^{+}>0$ clearly holds, it is necessary to enforce $\ddot{q}_{1}^{-}<0$ in order to be able to perform any desired (rest-to-rest) motion transfer by $\Delta \lessgtr 0$ with a suitable sequence of positive and negative acceleration of the first joint. However, this condition is trivially obtained once we realize that the minimum requirement for the actuation torques at each joint is that they should be able to sustain (at least) the robot gravity load in any configuration. As a result, we can safely assume that

$$
U_{1}>\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) g_{0}=a g_{0}, \quad U_{2}>m_{2} d_{c 2} g_{0}>m_{2} d_{c 2} \sin \bar{q}_{2} g_{0}=b g_{0} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \ddot{q}_{1}^{-}<0 .
$$

Note that the two possible saturation levels for the acceleration of the first joint (at its positive or negative value) correspond to two different physical situations: either because the first actuator pushes/pulls the robot as fast as possible ( $U_{1}$ saturates), or because the second actuator reaches
its limit capability in order to keep the second link at the fixed configuration $\bar{q}_{2}$ ( $U_{2}$ saturates). Furthermore, it follows from the expressions of the bounds in (5) that the same actuator will saturate during the acceleration and deceleration phases, while equation (4) shows that the two input commands will always have opposite signs.
With such asymmetric bounds on the feasible acceleration of joint 1 , the requested rest-to-rest motion task for a displacement $-\Delta<0$ (thus, moving against gravity) will be executed in minimum time $T$ by the following acceleration command:

$$
\ddot{q}_{1}(t)= \begin{cases}\ddot{q}_{1}^{-}, & t \in\left[0, T_{s}\right) \\ \ddot{q}_{1}^{+}, & t \in\left[T_{s}, T\right)\end{cases}
$$

The values of $T_{s}$ and $T$ are obtained from the two relationships:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{q}_{1}^{-} T_{s}+\ddot{q}_{1}^{+}\left(T-T_{s}\right) & =0 & & \text { (rest-to-rest motion enforced) } \\
\frac{1}{2} \ddot{q}_{1}^{-} T_{s}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \ddot{q}_{1}^{+}\left(T-T_{s}\right)^{2} & =-\Delta & & \text { (net displacement to be achieved). }
\end{aligned}
$$

As a result,

$$
T=\sqrt{\frac{2 \Delta\left(\ddot{q}_{1}^{+}-\ddot{q}_{1}^{-}\right)}{\left|\ddot{q}_{1}^{-}\right| \ddot{q}_{1}^{+}}}, \quad T_{s}=\frac{\ddot{q}_{1}^{+}}{\ddot{q}_{1}^{+}-\ddot{q}_{1}^{-}} T .
$$

Note that in case of opposite values of the positive and negative acceleration $\left(\ddot{q}_{1}^{+}=-\ddot{q}_{1}^{-}=A\right)$, these formulas return the usual symmetric bang-bang profile with $T=\sqrt{4 \Delta / A}$ and $T_{s}=T / 2$.

Qualitative optimal profiles of $\ddot{q}_{1}(t), u_{1}(t)$ and $u_{2}(t)$ for $t \in[0, T]$ are sketched in Fig. 2, assuming here that $U_{1} / a<U_{2} / b$. Indeed, $\ddot{q}_{2}(t)=0$ at any time $t$ (thus, it is not shown).


Figure 2: Time-optimal profiles of $\ddot{q}_{1}(t), u_{1}(t)$ and $u_{2}(t)$ for a displacement $-\Delta<0$ of $q_{1}$.
On the other hand, Figure 3 shows the numerical results obtained with the data

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}=8, \quad m_{2}=5[\mathrm{~kg}], \quad d_{c 2}=1[\mathrm{~m}], \quad \bar{q}_{2}=\frac{\pi}{4}[\mathrm{rad}], \quad U_{1}=260[\mathrm{~N}], \quad U_{2}=100[\mathrm{Nm}], \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

yielding for a displacement of $-\Delta=-1[\mathrm{~m}]$ of $q_{1}$ :

$$
\ddot{q}_{1}^{-}=-10.19, \quad \ddot{q}_{1}^{+}=29.81\left[\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right], \quad T=0.5132, \quad T_{s}=0.3825[\mathrm{~s}] .
$$

The first joint saturates its command $\left(\left|u_{1}\right|=U_{1}=260[\mathrm{~N}]\right)$, whereas the command to the second joint is set to a maximum (absolute) value of $\left|u_{2}\right|=-b\left(\ddot{q}_{1}^{-}-g_{0}\right)=70.7107<100=U_{2}[\mathrm{Nm}]$.


Figure 3: Time-optimal profiles of $\ddot{q}_{1}(t), u_{1}(t)$ and $u_{2}(t)$ for the data in (6) and $-\Delta=-1$.

