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## Kinematics of robot manipulators

- study of ...
geometric and timing aspects of robot motion, without reference to the causes producing it
- robot seen as ...
an (open) kinematic chain of rigid bodies interconnected by (revolute or prismatic) joints


## Motivations

- functional aspects
- definition of robot workspace
- calibration
- operational aspects



## task definition and performance

two different "spaces" related by kinematic (and dynamic) maps

- trajectory planning
- programming
- motion control


## Kinematics

## formulation and parameterizations



- choice of parameterization q
- unambiguous and minimal characterization of robot configuration
- $\mathrm{n}=$ = degrees of freedom (dof) $=$ \# robot joints (rotational or translational)
- choice of parameterization r
- compact description of position and/or orientation (pose) variables of interest to the required task
- usually, $\mathrm{m} \leq \mathrm{n}$ and $\mathrm{m} \leq 6$ (but none of these is strictly necessary)


## Open kinematic chains



- $m=2$
- pointing in space
- positioning in the plane
- $\mathrm{m}=3$
- orientation in space
- positioning and orientation in the plane


## Classification by kinematic type (first 3 dofs)

Cartesian
gantry
polar or spherical
(RRP)
$\mathrm{R}=1$-dof rotational (revolute) joint
$P=1$-dof translational (prismatic) joint

## Direct kinematic map

- the structure of the direct kinematics function depends from the chosen r

$$
r=f_{r}(q)
$$

- methods for computing $f_{r}(q)$
- geometric/by inspection
- systematic: assigning frames attached to the robot links and using homogeneous transformation matrices


## Example: direct kinematics of 2R arm


for more general cases, we need a "method"!

## Numbering links and joints



## Spatial relation between joint axes


$\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ displacement $\mathbf{A B}$ between joint axes (always well defined)
$\alpha_{i}=$ twist angle between joint axes

- projected on a plane $\pi$ orthogonal to the link axis
with sign
(pos/neg)!


## Spatial relation between link axes


$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ displacement $\mathbf{C D}$ (a variable if joint i is prismatic)
$\theta_{i}=$ angle between link axes (a variable if joint $i$ is revolute) - projected on a plane o orthogonal to the joint axis

## Denavit-Hartenberg (DH) frames



## Denavit-Hartenberg parameters



- unit vector $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}$ along axis of joint $\mathrm{i}+1$
- unit vector $x_{i}$ along the common normal to joint $i$ and $i+1$ axes ( $i \rightarrow i+1$ )
- $a_{i}=$ distance $\mathrm{DO}_{\mathrm{i}}$ - positive if oriented as $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (constant = "length" of link i)
- $d_{i}=$ distance $O_{i-1} D$ - positive if oriented as $z_{i-1}$ (variable if joint $i$ is PRISMATIC)
- $\alpha_{i}=$ twist angle between $z_{i-1}$ and $z_{i}$ around $x_{i}$ (constant)
- $\theta_{i}=$ angle between $x_{i-1}$ and $x_{i}$ around $z_{i-i}$ (variable if joint $i$ is REVOLUTE)


## Denavit-Hartenberg layout made simple

 (a popular 3-minute illustration...)
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rA9tm0gTIn8

- note: the authors of this video use $r$ in place of $a$, and do not add subscripts!


## Ambiguities in defining DH frames

- frame 0 : origin and $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ axis are arbitrary
- frame: $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ axis is not specified (but $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ must be orthogonal to and intersect $z_{n-1}$ )
- when $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}-1}$ and $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are parallel: the common normal is not uniquely defined ( $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{i}}$ can be chosen arbitrarily along $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}$ )
- when $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}-1}$ and $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are incident: the positive direction of $x_{i}$ can be chosen at will (however, we often take $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}-1} \times \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}$ )


## Homogeneous transformation

roto-translation around and along $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}-1}$

$$
{ }^{i-1} A_{i^{\prime}}\left(q_{i}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc:c}
c \theta_{i}-s \theta_{i} & 0 & 0 \\
s \theta_{i} & c \theta_{i} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hdashline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc:c}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & d_{i} \\
\hdashline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc:c}
c \theta_{i} & -s \theta_{i} & 0 & 0 \\
s \theta_{i} & c \theta_{i} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & d_{i} \\
\hdashline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

rotational joint $\Rightarrow q_{i}=\theta_{i} \quad$ prismatic join

- roto-translation around and along $x_{i}$

$$
\mathrm{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc:c}
1 & 0 & 0 & a_{i} \\
0 & \mathrm{c} \alpha_{i} & -\mathrm{s} \alpha_{\mathrm{i}} & 0 \\
0 & \mathrm{~s} \alpha_{i} & c \alpha_{i} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \longleftarrow \begin{gathered}
\text { always a } \\
\text { constant matrix }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Denavit-Hartenberg matrix

J. Denavit and R.S. Hartenberg, "A kinematic notation for lower-pair mechanisms based on matrices," Trans. ASME J. Applied Mechanics, 23: 215-221, 1955

compact notation: $\mathrm{c}=\cos , \mathrm{s}=\sin$

$$
\text { super-compact notation: } c_{i}=\cos q_{i}, s_{i}=\sin q_{i}
$$

## Direct kinematics of manipulators



## Example: SCARA robot



Sankyo SCARA 8438


Sankyo SCARA SR 8447

## Step 1: joint axes



## Step 2: link axes



## Step 3: frames

axes $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{i}}$ for $\mathbf{i}>0$ are not shown (nor needed; they form right-handed frames)


## Step 4: DH table of parameters



| i | $\alpha_{i}$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\theta_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~d}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ |
| 2 | 0 | $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ | 0 | $\mathrm{q}_{2}$ |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{q}_{3}$ | 0 |
| 4 | $\pi$ | 0 | $\mathrm{~d}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{q}_{4}$ |

note that:
$\cdot \mathrm{d}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{4}$ could be set $=0$ -here, it is $\mathrm{d}_{4}<0$

Step 5: transformation matrices

$$
\begin{aligned}
&{ }^{0} A_{1}\left(q_{1}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
c \theta_{1} & -s \theta_{1} & 0 & a_{1} c \theta_{1} \\
s \theta_{1} & c \theta_{1} & 0 & a_{1} s \theta_{1} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & d_{1} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
&{ }^{1} A_{2}\left(q_{2}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
c \theta_{2} & -s \theta_{2} & 0 & a_{2} c \theta_{2} \\
s \theta_{2} & c \theta_{2} & 0 & a_{2} s \theta_{2} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]{ }^{2} A_{3}\left(q_{3}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & d_{3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
& q=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right) \\
&=\left(\theta_{1,} \theta_{2}, d_{3}, \theta_{4}\right) \\
& \text { Robotics 1 }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Step 6a: direct kinematics

as homogeneous matrix ${ }^{B} T_{E}$ (products of ${ }^{i} A_{i+1}$ )


## Step 6b: direct kinematics



## Stanford manipulator

- 6-dof: 2R-1P-3R (spherical wrist)

shoulder offset
"one possible" DH assignment of frames is shown
determine the associated
- DH parameters table
- homogeneous transformation matrices
- direct kinematics
write a program for computing the direct kinematics
- numerically (Matlab)
- symbolically (Mathematica, Maple, Symbolic Manipulation Toolbox of Matlab, ...)


## DH table for Stanford manipulator

- 6-dof: 2R-1P-3R (spherical wrist)



## KUKA KR5 Sixx R650

- 6R (offsets at shoulder and elbow, spherical wrist)

- determine

- frames and table of DH parameters
- homogeneous transformation matrices
- direct kinematics
available at
DIAG Robotics Lab


## KUKA LWR 4+

- 7R (no offsets, spherical shoulder and spherical wrist)


available at DIAG Robotics Lab

- determine
- frames and table of DH parameters
- homogeneous transformation matrices
- direct kinematics
- $\mathrm{d}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{7}$ can be set $=0$ or not (as needed)


## Appendix: <br> Modified DH convention

- a modified version used in J. Craig's book "Introduction to Robotics", 1986
- has $z_{i}$ axis on joint $i$
- $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}} \& \alpha_{\mathrm{i}}=$ distance \& twist angle from $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}$ to $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}+1}$, measured along \& about $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$
- $d_{i} \& \theta_{i}=$ distance $\&$ angle from $x_{i-1}$ to $x_{i}$, measured along \& about $z_{i}$
- source of much confusion... if you are not aware of it (or don't mention it!)
- convenient with link flexibility: a rigid frame at the base, another at the tip...

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { classical }\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
c \theta_{i} & -c \alpha_{i} s \theta_{i} & s \alpha_{i} s \theta_{i} & a_{i} c \theta_{i} \\
s \theta_{i} & c \alpha_{i} c \theta_{i} & -s \alpha_{i} c \theta_{i} & a_{i} s \theta_{i} \\
0 & s \alpha_{i} & c \alpha_{i} & d_{i} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& { }_{i-1}^{\bmod } A_{i}^{\bmod }=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
c \theta_{i} & -s \theta_{i} & 0 \\
c \alpha_{i-1} s \theta_{i} & c \alpha_{i-1} c \theta_{i} & -s \alpha_{i-1} \\
s \alpha_{i-1} s \theta_{i} & s \alpha_{i-1} c \theta_{i} & c \alpha_{i-1} s \alpha_{i-1} \\
0 & 0 & d_{i} c \alpha_{i-1}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

modified DH tends to place frames at the base of each link

