## Robotics 1

January 11, 2022

## Exercise \#1

Consider the planar RPR robot with L-shaped forearm in Fig. 1, shown with the base reference frame $R F_{0}$ and the end-effector frame $R F_{e}$ attached to the gripper.
i. Assign a set of Denavit-Hartenberg (D-H) frames to the robot. The origin of the last D-H frame should be at the point $P$.
ii. Fill in the associated table of parameters.
iii. Draw the robot in the configuration $\boldsymbol{q}=\mathbf{0}$.
iv. Give the expression of the position $\boldsymbol{p}$ of point $P$ and of the orientation ${ }^{0} \boldsymbol{R}_{3}$ of the D-H frame $R F_{3}$ when the robot is in the configuration $\boldsymbol{q}=\mathbf{0}$.
v. Determine the constant homogeneous matrix ${ }^{3} \boldsymbol{T}_{e}$.
vi. Give the symbolic expression of all triples $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right)$ of $X Y X$ Euler angles that realize the rotation matrix ${ }^{3} \boldsymbol{R}_{e}$. Provide the numerical values of these Euler angles when $L=M=1$.


Figure 1: A RPR robot with L-shaped forearm. A force/torque sensor is mounted at the gripper.

## Exercise \#2

Let a task vector associated to the RPR robot of Fig. 1 be defined as

$$
\boldsymbol{r}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
p_{x} \\
p_{y} \\
\phi
\end{array}\right)=\boldsymbol{t}(\boldsymbol{q}) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

with the Cartesian coordinates $\left(p_{x}, p_{y}\right)$ of the point $P$ in the plane and the orientation angle $\phi$ of the D-H axis $\boldsymbol{x}_{3}$ w.r.t. the base axis $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$.
i. Determine the closed-form expression of the inverse kinematics for a given $\boldsymbol{r}_{d}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}p_{x d} & p_{y d} & \phi_{d}\end{array}\right)^{T}$.
ii. Provide the numerical values of all inverse solutions for the following data: $K=L=M=1[\mathrm{~m}]$; $p_{x d}=2, p_{y d}=1[\mathrm{~m}] ; \phi=-\pi / 6[\mathrm{rad}]$.

## Exercise \#3

i. Compute the $3 \times 3$ task Jacobian $\boldsymbol{J}_{t}(\boldsymbol{q})$ associated to the task vector function $\boldsymbol{r}=\boldsymbol{t}(\boldsymbol{q})$ defined in Exercise \#2.
ii. Find all the singularities of the matrix $\boldsymbol{J}_{t}(\boldsymbol{q})$.
iii. In a singular configuration $\boldsymbol{q}_{s}$, determine a basis for the null space $\mathcal{N}\left\{\boldsymbol{J}_{t}\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{s}\right)\right\}$ and a basis for the range space $\mathcal{R}\left\{\boldsymbol{J}_{t}\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{s}\right)\right\}$. Both bases should be globally defined, namely they should have a constant dimension for all possible $\boldsymbol{q}$ such that $\boldsymbol{J}_{t}(\boldsymbol{q})$ is singular.
iv. Set now $K=L=M=1[\mathrm{~m}]$. Find a task velocity $\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{f} \in \mathcal{R}\left\{\boldsymbol{J}_{t}\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{s}\right)\right\}$ and an associated joint velocity $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{f} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ realizing it, i.e., such that $\boldsymbol{J}_{t}\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{s}\right) \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{f}=\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{f}$. Is this $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{f}$ unique?

## Exercise \#4

Make again reference to the RPR robot shown in Fig. 1. The robot has a force/torque sensor mounted at the gripper which measures in the reference frame $R F_{e}$ the two linear components ${ }^{e} f_{y}$ and ${ }^{e} f_{z}$ of the force ${ }^{e} \boldsymbol{f} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and the angular component ${ }^{e} m_{x}$ of the torque ${ }^{e} \boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$. The other force/torque components are zero. Define the gripper wrench as ${ }^{e} \boldsymbol{F}=\left({ }^{e} \boldsymbol{f}^{T}{ }^{e} \boldsymbol{m}^{T}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{6}$, when expressed in frame $R F_{e}$. Assume again $K=L=M=1[\mathrm{~m}]$ and that the robot is in the configuration $\overline{\boldsymbol{q}}=(\pi / 2,-1,0)[\mathrm{rad}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{rad}]$, with the gripper in contact with an external environment.
i. If the sensor measures

$$
{ }^{e} f_{y}=-1 \mathrm{~N}, \quad{ }^{e} f_{z}=-2 \mathrm{~N}, \quad{ }^{e} m_{x}=2 \mathrm{Nm},
$$

what is the value of the gripper wrench $\boldsymbol{F}=\left(\boldsymbol{f}^{T} \boldsymbol{m}^{T}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{6}$, as expressed in the absolute frame $R F_{0}$ ?
ii. Compute the vector $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ of forces/torques at the three joints that balances in static conditions the gripper wrench measured by the sensor.
Hint: It is convenient here to work with the complete geometric Jacobian of the robot.

## Exercise \#5

Consider the elliptic path shown in Fig. 2, with major (horizontal) semi-axis of length $a>0$ and minor (vertical) semi-axis of length $b<a$.


Figure 2: An elliptic path to be parametrized by $\boldsymbol{p}_{d}(s)$.
i. Choose a smooth parametrization $\boldsymbol{p}_{d}(s) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, with $s \in[0,1]$, of the full elliptic path starting at $P_{0}=(0, b)$.
ii. Provide a timing law $s=s(t)$ that traces the path counterclockwise with a constant speed $v>0$ on the path. What will be the motion time $T$ for completing the full ellipse?
iii. The following bounds on the norms of the velocity and of the acceleration should be satisfied along the resulting trajectory $\boldsymbol{p}_{d}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, for all $t \in[0, T]$ :

$$
\left\|\dot{\boldsymbol{p}}_{d}(t)\right\| \leq V_{\max }, \quad\left\|\ddot{\boldsymbol{p}}_{d}(t)\right\| \leq A_{\max }, \quad \text { with } V_{\max }>0 \text { and } A_{\max }>0
$$

Accordingly, what will be the maximum feasible speed $v_{f}$ for this motion?
iv. Provide the numerical values of the maximum feasible speed $v_{f}$ and of the resulting motion time $T_{f}$ for the following data: $a=1, b=0.3[\mathrm{~m}] ; V_{\max }=3[\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}] ; A_{\max }=6\left[\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right]$.

## Exercise \#6

A planar 2 R robot has its base placed at the center of the ellipse of Fig. 2, as shown in Fig. 3. The robot has the first link of length $a$ and the second link of length $b<a$, the same values of the semi-axes of the ellipse. The position $\boldsymbol{p}=\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{q})$ of its end effector (point $P$ ) should follow the trajectory $\boldsymbol{p}_{d}(t)$ defined in a parametric way in Exercise $\# 5$, with a path speed $v=0.4\left[\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right]$.


Figure 3: The placement of the 2 R robot with respect to the ellipse of Fig. 2.
i. What are the conditions on $a>b>0$ in order for the robot to be able to reach all points of the desired trajectory $\boldsymbol{p}_{d}(t)$ while avoiding any robot singularity? Choose numerical values for $a$ and for $b<a$ that satisfy these conditions and keep these values for the rest of this exercise.
ii. Choose an initial robot configuration $\boldsymbol{q}_{n}(0)$ so as to match the desired trajectory $\boldsymbol{p}_{d}(t)$ at time $t=0$, i.e., with initial Cartesian error $\boldsymbol{e}(0)=\boldsymbol{p}_{d}(0)-\boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{n}(0)\right)=\mathbf{0}$.
iii. What nominal joint velocity command $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}=\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{n}(t)$ should be given for $t \in[0, T]$ in order to execute perfectly the entire trajectory $\boldsymbol{p}_{d}(t)$ with matched initial conditions?
iv. Choose another initial configuration $\boldsymbol{q}(0)$ such that $\boldsymbol{e}(0) \neq \mathbf{0}$, but with the $y$-component of the error $e_{y}(0)=0$. Design a joint velocity control law $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}=\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{c}(\boldsymbol{q}, t)$, with a feedback term depending on the current configuration $\boldsymbol{q}$, that will let $e_{x}(t)$ converge to zero with exponential decaying rate $r=5$ and keep $e_{y}(t)=0$ for all $t \geq 0$.
v. With the available data, compute the numerical values of the initial nominal joint velocity command $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{n}(0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and of the initial joint velocity control law $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{c}(\boldsymbol{q}(0), 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$.

## Exercise \#7

The joint of the final flange of a 6 R robot has a range of $700^{\circ}$. The driving motor is connected to the joint through a transmission with reduction ratio $n_{r}=30$ and mounts a multi-turn absolute encoder. If we want to count the motor revolutions needed to cover the entire joint range and obtain an angular resolution of the final flange of less than $0.02^{\circ}$, how many bits should the multi-turn absolute encoder have at least?
[270 minutes (4.5 hours); open books]

## Solution

January 11, 2022

## Exercise \#1

A possible assignment of Denavit-Hartenberg (D-H) frames is shown in Fig. 4. The associated D-H parameters are given in Table 1. The signs of the $q_{i}$ 's correspond to the robot configuration shown in the figure. Note that the L-shaped form of the forearm is equivalent from a kinematic point of view to a straight link of length $D=\sqrt{L^{2}+M^{2}}$ connecting the origin $O_{2}$ of frame $R F_{2}$ with the point $P$, where the origin $O_{3}$ of the last D-H frame had to be placed. Accordingly, $\boldsymbol{x}_{3}$ is chosen along the direction of this equivalent link.


Figure 4: Assignment of D-H frames for the planar RPR robot.

| $i$ | $\alpha_{i}$ | $a_{i}$ | $d_{i}$ | $\theta_{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $-\pi / 2$ | $K$ | 0 | $q_{1}>0$ |
| 2 | $\pi / 2$ | 0 | $q_{2}>0$ | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | $D=\sqrt{L^{2}+M^{2}}$ | 0 | $q_{3}<0$ |

Table 1: Table of D-H parameters for the planar RPR robot.
Figure 5 shows the robot in the configuration $\boldsymbol{q}=\mathbf{0}$. In this configuration, the position of the point $P=O_{3}$ and the orientation of the D-H frame $R F_{3}$, as computed from the direct kinematics using the D-H homogeneous transformation matrices ${ }^{i-1} \boldsymbol{A}_{i}\left(q_{i}\right)$, are

$$
\boldsymbol{p}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
K+D \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right), \quad{ }^{0} \boldsymbol{R}_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$



Figure 5: The RPR robot in the configuration $\boldsymbol{q}=\mathbf{0}$.
The constant homogeneous transformation matrix ${ }^{3} \boldsymbol{T}_{e}$ that aligns the last D-H frame $R F_{3}$ with the end-effector (sensor) frame $R F_{e}$ is given by

$$
{ }^{3} \boldsymbol{T}_{e}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
{ }^{3} \boldsymbol{R}_{e} & \mathbf{0}  \tag{1}\\
\mathbf{0}^{T} & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { with } \quad{ }^{3} \boldsymbol{R}_{e}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & L / D & M / D \\
0 & M / D & -L / D \\
-1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -\sin \psi & \cos \psi \\
0 & \cos \psi & \sin \psi \\
-1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\psi=-\arctan (L / M)<0$.
The $X Y X$ Euler angles $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right)$ define the rotation matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{R}_{X Y X} & =\boldsymbol{R}_{X}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{R}_{Y}\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{R}_{X}\left(\alpha_{3}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \alpha_{2} & \sin \alpha_{2} \sin \alpha_{3} & \sin \alpha_{2} \cos \alpha_{3} \\
\sin \alpha_{1} \sin \alpha_{2} & \cos \alpha_{1} \cos \alpha_{3}-\sin \alpha_{1} \cos \alpha_{2} \sin \alpha_{3} & -\cos \alpha_{1} \sin \alpha_{3}-\sin \alpha_{1} \cos \alpha_{2} \cos \alpha_{3} \\
-\cos \alpha_{1} \sin \alpha_{2} & \sin \alpha_{1} \cos \alpha_{3}+\cos \alpha_{1} \cos \alpha_{2} \sin \alpha_{3} & \cos \alpha_{1} \cos \alpha_{2} \cos \alpha_{3}-\sin \alpha_{1} \sin \alpha_{3}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We need to solve the inverse orientation problem for this minimal representation of Euler angles:

$$
\boldsymbol{R}_{X Y X}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right)={ }^{3} \boldsymbol{R}_{e}(\psi)
$$

Since the two elements in the first and second row of the first column of ${ }^{3} \boldsymbol{R}_{e}$ are not simultaneously zero, two regular solutions for $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right)$ are obtained in symbolic form as:

$$
\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{+}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\frac{\pi}{2} \\
-\psi
\end{array}\right), \quad \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{-}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\pi \\
-\frac{\pi}{2} \\
-\psi+\pi
\end{array}\right)
$$

With the numerical values $L=M=1[\mathrm{~m}]$, we have $\psi=-45^{\circ}=-0.7854[\mathrm{rad}]$ and thus

$$
\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{+}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1.5708 \\
-0.7854
\end{array}\right), \quad \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{-}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
3.1416 \\
-1.5708 \\
2.3562
\end{array}\right) \quad[\mathrm{rad}]
$$

## Exercise \#2

The requested task kinematics for the RPR robot in Fig. 1 is easily obtained as ${ }^{1}$

$$
\boldsymbol{r}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
p_{x}  \tag{2}\\
p_{y} \\
\phi
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
K \cos q_{1}-q_{2} \sin q_{1}+D \cos \left(q_{1}+q_{3}\right) \\
K \sin q_{1}+q_{2} \cos q_{1}+D \sin \left(q_{1}+q_{3}\right) \\
q_{1}+q_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\boldsymbol{t}(\boldsymbol{q})
$$

with $D=\sqrt{L^{2}+M^{2}}$. The closed-form expression of the inverse kinematics

$$
\boldsymbol{q}=\boldsymbol{t}^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{d}\right), \quad \text { for a given } \boldsymbol{r}_{d}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
p_{x d}  \tag{3}\\
p_{y d} \\
\phi_{d}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is found from (2) and (3) as follows. Substituting the third relation $q_{1}+q_{3}=\phi_{d}$ in the first two leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
K \cos q_{1}-q_{2} \sin q_{1} & =p_{x d}-D \cos \phi_{d} \\
K \sin q_{1}+q_{2} \cos q_{1} & =p_{y d}-D \sin \phi_{d} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Squaring each equation in (4) and summing, we obtain after simplifications

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{2}^{2}=p_{x d}^{2}+p_{y d}^{2}+D^{2}-2 D\left(p_{x d} \cos \phi_{d}+p_{y d} \sin \phi_{d}\right)-K^{2} \triangleq A \quad \Rightarrow \quad q_{2}^{ \pm}= \pm \sqrt{A} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $A>0$, we get two (real) solutions for $q_{2}$. If $A=0$, the two solutions collapse into the single value $q_{2}=0$ (singular case). When $A<0$, the inverse problem has no solution because the input data are not compatible with the secondary workspace of the robot. When a solution exists (either two or only one), substituting in (4) each value of $q_{2}$ from (5), we obtain a linear system of two equations in the two unknowns $s_{1}=\sin q_{1}$ and $c_{1}=\cos q_{1}$ :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
K & -q_{2}^{ \pm}  \tag{6}\\
q_{2}^{ \pm} & K
\end{array}\right)\binom{c_{1}}{s_{1}}=\binom{p_{x d}-D \cos \phi_{d}}{p_{y d}-D \sin \phi_{d}}
$$

The determinant of the coefficient matrix is det $=K^{2}+|A|>0$ (in the assumed situation). Solving (6) provides a value for each $q_{2}^{ \pm}$

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{1}^{ \pm} & =\operatorname{atan} 2\left\{s_{1}, c_{1}\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{atan} 2\left\{-q_{2}^{ \pm}\left(p_{x_{d}}-D \cos \phi_{d}\right)+K\left(p_{y d}-D \sin \phi_{d}\right), K\left(p_{x d}-D \cos \phi_{d}\right)+q_{2}^{ \pm}\left(p_{y d}-D \sin \phi_{d}\right)\right\}, \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

and finally

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{3}^{ \pm}=\phi_{d}-q_{1}^{ \pm} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the following data

$$
K=L=M=1[\mathrm{~m}] \quad \Rightarrow \quad D=\sqrt{2}[\mathrm{~m}] \quad \text { and } \quad \boldsymbol{r}_{d}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
p_{x d} \\
p_{y d} \\
\phi_{d}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
2 \\
1 \\
-\pi / 6
\end{array}\right)[\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{rad}]
$$

we have that $A=2.5152$ (regular case) and the two inverse solutions are
$\boldsymbol{q}^{+}=\left(\begin{array}{c}7.81^{\circ} \\ 1.5859 \\ -37.81^{\circ}\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}0.1363 \\ 1.5859 \\ -0.6599\end{array}\right)[\mathrm{rad}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{rad}] \quad \boldsymbol{q}^{-}=\left(\begin{array}{c}123.34^{\circ} \\ -1.5859 \\ -153.34^{\circ}\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}2.1527 \\ -1.5859 \\ -2.6763\end{array}\right)[\mathrm{rad}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{rad}]$.
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## Exercise \#3

The $(3 \times 3)$ Jacobian matrix associated to the task (2) is

$$
J_{t}(\boldsymbol{q})=\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{t}(\boldsymbol{q})}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-K \sin q_{1}-q_{2} \cos q_{1}-D \sin \left(q_{1}+q_{3}\right) & -\sin q_{1} & -D \sin \left(q_{1}+q_{3}\right)  \tag{9}\\
K \cos q_{1}-q_{2} \sin q_{1}+D \cos \left(q_{1}+q_{3}\right) & \cos q_{1} & D \cos \left(q_{1}+q_{3}\right) \\
1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Its determinant is $\operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{J}_{t}(\boldsymbol{q})=-q_{2}$. When the robot is in a task singularity $\boldsymbol{q}_{s}=\left(q_{1}, 0, q_{3}\right)$, with $q_{1}$ and $q_{3}$ being arbitrary, the Jacobian becomes
$\boldsymbol{J}_{s}=\boldsymbol{J}_{t}\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{s}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}-K \sin q_{1}-D \sin \left(q_{1}+q_{3}\right) & -\sin q_{1} & -D \sin \left(q_{1}+q_{3}\right) \\ K \cos q_{1}+D \cos \left(q_{1}+q_{3}\right) & \cos q_{1} & D \cos \left(q_{1}+q_{3}\right) \\ 1 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}\boldsymbol{J}_{1} & \boldsymbol{J}_{2} & \boldsymbol{J}_{3}\end{array}\right)$.
It is evident that its first column $\boldsymbol{J}_{1}$ is a linear combination of the other two: $\boldsymbol{J}_{1}=K \boldsymbol{J}_{2}+\boldsymbol{J}_{3}$. Moreover, $\operatorname{rank}\left\{\boldsymbol{J}_{s}\right\}=\operatorname{rank}\left\{\left(\boldsymbol{J}_{2} \boldsymbol{J}_{3}\right)\right\}=2$, constant for all $\left(q_{1}, q_{3}\right)$. Therefore, the requested subspaces $\mathcal{N}\left\{\boldsymbol{J}_{s}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{R}\left\{\boldsymbol{J}_{s}\right\}$ associated to the singular matrix $\boldsymbol{J}_{s}$ are one-dimensional and, respectively, two-dimensional, with global bases given by

$$
\mathcal{N}\left\{\boldsymbol{J}_{s}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c}
-1 \\
K \\
1
\end{array}\right)\right\}, \quad \mathcal{R}\left\{\boldsymbol{J}_{s}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\sin q_{1} \\
\cos q_{1} \\
0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\sin \left(q_{1}+q_{3}\right) \\
\cos \left(q_{1}+q_{3}\right) \\
1
\end{array}\right)\right\} .
$$

Set now $K=L=M=1$ (and thus $D=\sqrt{2}$ ) in (10). A simple choice of a feasible task velocity is

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{f}=\gamma\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\sin q_{1} \\
\cos q_{1} \\
0
\end{array}\right) \in \mathcal{R}\left\{\boldsymbol{J}_{s}\right\}
$$

There is indeed an infinity of joint velocities $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{f} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ realizing $\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{f}$. Two possible solutions are

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{f}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\gamma \\
0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{f}^{\prime \prime}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\gamma \\
0 \\
-\gamma
\end{array}\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{J}_{s} \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{f}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{J}_{s} \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{f}^{\prime \prime}=\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{f}
$$

The first solution uses only the prismatic joint of the robot, while the second uses only the two revolute joints. Note that it is useless to ask which solution has a smaller norm, i.e., it involves a smaller motion in the joint space (apparently, $\left.\left\|\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{f}^{\prime}\right\|<\left\|\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{f}^{\prime \prime}\right\|\right)$. In fact, the first solution has [m] as units while the other uses [rad]. These units are not commensurable ${ }^{2}$, and the straightforward norm minimization would not be unit-independent. The problem arises because of the different nature (prismatic and revolute) of the robot joints. For this reason, the pseudoinverse solution

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{f}^{\#}=\boldsymbol{J}_{s}^{\#} \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{f}=\frac{\gamma}{K^{2}+2}\left(\begin{array}{c}
K \\
2 \\
-K
\end{array}\right) \quad[\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}]
$$

makes little sense here.
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## Exercise \#4

A main issue here is the expression of forces/torques from one reference frame to another: in particular, from the sensor frame $R F_{e}$ at the robot gripper, where measures of the wrench ${ }^{e} \boldsymbol{F}$ (i.e., forces $\boldsymbol{f} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and torques $\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ ) are collected, to the absolute frame $R F_{0}$. Because of the set up of the axes of these two reference frames, the problem is naturally embedded in 3D. Moreover, this change of representation is needed also when using the (transpose of the) geometric Jacobian $\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{q})$ for computing the joint torques $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ associated to a wrench at the end-effector gripper. In fact, with the $(6 \times n)$ geometric Jacobian $\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{q})$ we usually express the end-effector linear and angular velocities $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ directly in frame $R F_{0}$. The dual map requires then also wrenches to be expressed in the same frame. In the following, quantities expressed in $R F_{e}$ carry a preceding superscript $e$, whereas quantities without a preceding superscript are expressed (by default) in the absolute frame $R F_{0}$.
With the above in mind, we have in general

$$
\begin{align*}
\binom{\boldsymbol{v}}{\boldsymbol{\omega}} & =\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{q}) \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}=\binom{\boldsymbol{J}_{L}(\boldsymbol{q})}{\boldsymbol{J}_{A}(\boldsymbol{q})} \dot{\boldsymbol{q}} \\
& \Rightarrow\binom{{ }^{e} \boldsymbol{v}}{{ }^{e} \boldsymbol{\omega}}=\binom{{ }^{e} \boldsymbol{R}_{0}(\boldsymbol{q}) \boldsymbol{v}}{{ }^{e} \boldsymbol{R}_{0}(\boldsymbol{q}) \boldsymbol{\omega}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
{ }^{e} \boldsymbol{R}_{0}(\boldsymbol{q}) & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & { }^{e} \boldsymbol{R}_{0}(\boldsymbol{q})
\end{array}\right)\binom{\boldsymbol{J}_{L}(\boldsymbol{q})}{\boldsymbol{J}_{A}(\boldsymbol{q})} \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}=\binom{{ }^{e} \boldsymbol{J}_{L}(\boldsymbol{q})}{{ }^{e} \boldsymbol{J}_{A}(\boldsymbol{q})} \dot{\boldsymbol{q}} . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand

$$
\boldsymbol{F}=\binom{\boldsymbol{f}}{\boldsymbol{m}}=\binom{{ }^{0} \boldsymbol{R}_{e}(\boldsymbol{q})^{e} \boldsymbol{f}}{{ }^{0} \boldsymbol{R}_{e}(\boldsymbol{q})^{e} \boldsymbol{m}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
{ }^{0} \boldsymbol{R}_{e}(\boldsymbol{q}) & \mathbf{0}  \tag{12}\\
\mathbf{0} & { }^{0} \boldsymbol{R}_{e}(\boldsymbol{q})
\end{array}\right)\binom{{ }^{e} \boldsymbol{f}}{{ }^{e} \boldsymbol{m}} .
$$

Thus, the map from end-effector wrenches to joint torques can be written in equivalent ways as

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\boldsymbol{\tau}=\boldsymbol{J}^{T}(\boldsymbol{q}) \boldsymbol{F} & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{J}_{L}^{T}(\boldsymbol{q}) & \boldsymbol{J}_{A}^{T}(\boldsymbol{q})
\end{array}\right)\binom{\boldsymbol{f}}{\boldsymbol{m}} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{J}_{L}^{T}(\boldsymbol{q}) & \boldsymbol{J}_{A}^{T}(\boldsymbol{q})
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
{ }^{e} \boldsymbol{R}_{0}(\boldsymbol{q}) & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & { }^{e} \boldsymbol{R}_{0}(\boldsymbol{q})
\end{array}\right)^{T}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
{ }^{e} \boldsymbol{R}_{0}(\boldsymbol{q}) & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & { }^{e} \boldsymbol{R}_{0}(\boldsymbol{q})
\end{array}\right)\binom{\boldsymbol{f}}{\boldsymbol{m}} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
{ }^{e} \boldsymbol{R}_{0}(\boldsymbol{q}) & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & { }^{e} \boldsymbol{R}_{0}(\boldsymbol{q})
\end{array}\right)\binom{\boldsymbol{J}_{L}(\boldsymbol{q})}{\boldsymbol{J}_{A}(\boldsymbol{q})} \tag{13}
\end{array}\right)^{T}\binom{{ }^{e} \boldsymbol{f}}{{ }^{e} \boldsymbol{m}} .
$$

In the above computations, one needs ${ }^{0} \boldsymbol{R}_{3}(\boldsymbol{q})$ from the robot direct kinematics and ${ }^{3} \boldsymbol{R}_{e}$ from (1). Further, we can use conveniently the task Jacobian $\boldsymbol{J}_{t}(\boldsymbol{q})$ in (9) to build the geometric Jacobian $\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{q})$. These quantities are evaluated when the robot is in $\boldsymbol{q}=\overline{\boldsymbol{q}}=(\pi / 2,-1,0)$ [rad,m,rad], using the data $K=L=M=1[\mathrm{~m}]$ (thus, $D=\sqrt{2}[\mathrm{~m}]$ and $\psi=-\pi / 4[\mathrm{rad}]$ ). We have

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }^{0} \boldsymbol{R}_{e}(\overline{\boldsymbol{q}}) & ={ }^{0} \boldsymbol{R}_{3}(\overline{\boldsymbol{q}}){ }^{3} \boldsymbol{R}_{e}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \left(\bar{q}_{1}+\bar{q}_{3}\right) & -\sin \left(\bar{q}_{1}+\bar{q}_{3}\right) & 0 \\
\sin \left(\bar{q}_{1}+\bar{q}_{3}\right) & \cos \left(\bar{q}_{1}+\bar{q}_{3}\right) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -\sin \psi & \cos \psi \\
0 & \cos \psi & \sin \psi \\
-1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \sqrt{2} / 2 & \sqrt{2} / 2 \\
0 & \sqrt{2} / 2 & -\sqrt{2} / 2 \\
-1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -0.7071 & 0.7071 \\
0 & 0.7071 & 0.7071 \\
-1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{J}_{t}(\overline{\boldsymbol{q}}) & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\sin \bar{q}_{1}-\bar{q}_{2} \cos \bar{q}_{1}-\sqrt{2} \sin \left(\bar{q}_{1}+\bar{q}_{3}\right) & -\sin \bar{q}_{1} & -\sqrt{2} \sin \left(\bar{q}_{1}+\bar{q}_{3}\right) \\
\cos \bar{q}_{1}-\bar{q}_{2} \sin \bar{q}_{1}+\sqrt{2} \cos \left(\bar{q}_{1}+\bar{q}_{3}\right) & \cos \bar{q}_{1} & \sqrt{2} \cos \left(\bar{q}_{1}+\bar{q}_{3}\right) \\
1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1-\sqrt{2} & -1 & -\sqrt{2} \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-2.4142 & -1 & -1.4142 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \begin{array}{c}
\leftarrow \dot{p}_{x} \\
\leftarrow \dot{p}_{y} \\
\leftarrow \dot{\phi}_{z}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the mapping

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{q}} \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \quad \longrightarrow\binom{\boldsymbol{v}}{\boldsymbol{\omega}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
v_{x} \\
v_{y} \\
v_{z} \\
\omega_{x} \\
\omega_{y} \\
\omega_{z}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is given by the $(6 \times 3)$ geometric Jacobian, expressed in the frames $R F_{0}$ and $R F_{e}$ respectively as ${ }^{3}$

$$
\boldsymbol{J}(\overline{\boldsymbol{q}})=\binom{\boldsymbol{J}_{L}(\overline{\boldsymbol{q}})}{\boldsymbol{J}_{A}(\overline{\boldsymbol{q}})}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-2.4142 & -1 & -1.4142 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad \begin{gathered}
\leftarrow v_{x}=\dot{p}_{x} \\
\leftarrow v_{y}=\dot{p}_{y} \\
\leftarrow v_{z}=0 \\
\leftarrow \omega_{x}=0 \\
\leftarrow \omega_{y}=0 \\
\leftarrow \omega_{z}=\dot{\phi}_{z}
\end{gathered}
$$

and, using the transpose of (14),

$$
{ }^{e} \boldsymbol{J}(\overline{\boldsymbol{q}})=\binom{{ }^{e} \boldsymbol{J}_{L}(\overline{\boldsymbol{q}})}{{ }^{e} \boldsymbol{J}_{A}(\overline{\boldsymbol{q}})}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
{ }^{0} \boldsymbol{R}_{e}^{T}(\overline{\boldsymbol{q}}) \boldsymbol{J}_{L}(\overline{\boldsymbol{q}}) \\
{ }^{0} \boldsymbol{R}_{e}^{T}(\overline{\boldsymbol{q}}) \boldsymbol{J}_{A}(\overline{\boldsymbol{q}})
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
{ }^{e} v_{x}=0 \\
2.4142 & 0.7071 & 1 \\
-1 & -0.7071 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \leftarrow{ }^{e} v_{y} \\
{ }^{e} v_{z} \\
\leftarrow{ }^{e} \omega_{x}=-\dot{\phi}_{z} \\
\leftarrow{ }^{e} \omega_{y}=0 \\
& \leftarrow{ }^{z} \omega_{y}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The two posed problems i. and ii. have then the following answers. From the measured data

$$
{ }^{e} \boldsymbol{F}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll} 
& { }^{e} \boldsymbol{f}^{T} & { }^{e} \boldsymbol{m}^{T}
\end{array}\right)^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
{ }^{e} f_{x} & { }^{e} f_{y} & { }^{e} f_{z}{ }^{e} m_{x} & { }^{e} m_{y}{ }^{e} m_{z}
\end{array}\right)^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & -1 & -2 & 2 & 0
\end{array}\right)^{T},
$$

we compute the gripper wrench expressed in the base frame using (12) and (14):

$$
\boldsymbol{F}=\binom{\boldsymbol{f}}{\boldsymbol{m}}=\binom{{ }^{0} \boldsymbol{R}_{e}(\overline{\boldsymbol{q}})^{e} \boldsymbol{f}}{{ }^{0} \boldsymbol{R}_{e}(\overline{\boldsymbol{q}})^{e} \boldsymbol{m}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
{ }^{0} \boldsymbol{R}_{e}(\overline{\boldsymbol{q}}) & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & { }^{0} \boldsymbol{R}_{e}(\overline{\boldsymbol{q}})
\end{array}\right){ }^{e} \boldsymbol{F}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
-0.7071 \\
-2.1213 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
-2
\end{array}\right) \leftarrow f_{x}[\mathrm{~N}] ~ \leftarrow f_{y}[\mathrm{~N}]
$$

[^2]From (13), the joint torques needed to balance in static conditions the gripper wrench (applied by the environment and measured by the sensor) are given by

$$
\boldsymbol{\tau}=-{ }^{e} \boldsymbol{J}^{T}(\overline{\boldsymbol{q}}){ }^{e} \boldsymbol{F}=-\boldsymbol{J}^{T}(\overline{\boldsymbol{q}}) \boldsymbol{F}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
2.4142 \\
-0.7071 \\
1
\end{array}\right) \quad[\mathrm{Nm}, \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{Nm}] .
$$

Note here the minus sign!

## Exercise \#5

The elliptic path in Fig. 2 can be smoothly parametrized by

$$
\boldsymbol{p}_{d}(s)=\binom{p_{d x}(s)}{p_{d y}(s)}=\binom{-a \sin 2 \pi s}{b \cos 2 \pi s}, \quad s \in[0,1] .
$$

In this way we have $\boldsymbol{p}_{d}(0)=(0, b)$, the coordinates of the point $P_{0}$, and the path is traced counterclockwise for increasing values of the parameter $s$. The first and second path derivatives are

$$
\boldsymbol{p}_{d}^{\prime}(s)=\frac{d \boldsymbol{p}_{d}(s)}{d s}=-2 \pi\binom{a \cos 2 \pi s}{b \sin 2 \pi s}, \quad \boldsymbol{p}_{d}^{\prime \prime}(s)=\frac{d^{2} \boldsymbol{p}_{d}(s)}{d s^{2}}=4 \pi^{2}\binom{a \sin 2 \pi s}{-b \cos 2 \pi s}, \quad s \in[0,1] .
$$

Figure 6 shows the plots of the $x$ and $y$ components of $\boldsymbol{p}_{d}(s), \boldsymbol{p}_{d}^{\prime}(s)$, and $\boldsymbol{p}_{d}^{\prime \prime}(s)$, when choosing $a=1$ and $b=0.3[\mathrm{~m}]$ as lengths for the semi-axes of the ellipse.


Figure 6: Components of $\boldsymbol{p}_{d}(s), \boldsymbol{p}_{d}^{\prime}(s)$, and $\boldsymbol{p}_{d}^{\prime \prime}(s)(x$ in blue, $y$ in red).
The desired timing on the path is simply

$$
s=s(t)=v t, \quad \dot{s}(t)=v>0, \quad \ddot{s}(t)=0, \quad t \in[0, T],
$$

where $T=1 / v$ is the motion time needed to trace a full ellipse with constant speed $v$. Accordingly, the velocity and the acceleration along the trajectory $\boldsymbol{p}_{d}(t)$ are

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{p}}_{d}(t)=\boldsymbol{p}_{d}^{\prime} \dot{s}=-2 \pi v\binom{a \cos 2 \pi v t}{b \sin 2 \pi v t} \quad \ddot{\boldsymbol{p}}_{d}(t)=\boldsymbol{p}_{d}^{\prime} \ddot{s}+\boldsymbol{p}_{d}^{\prime \prime} \dot{s}^{2}=4 \pi^{2} v^{2}\binom{a \sin 2 \pi v t}{-b \cos 2 \pi v t}
$$

with associated norms

$$
\left\|\dot{\boldsymbol{p}}_{d}(t)\right\|=2 \pi v \sqrt{a^{2} \cos ^{2} 2 \pi v t+b^{2} \sin ^{2} 2 \pi v t}, \quad\left\|\ddot{\boldsymbol{p}}_{d}(t)\right\|=4 \pi^{2} v^{2} \sqrt{a^{2} \sin ^{2} 2 \pi v t+b^{2} \cos ^{2} 2 \pi v t} .
$$

It is easy to see that, being $a>b$, the maximum values of these norms are

$$
\max _{t \in[0, T]}\left\|\dot{\boldsymbol{p}}_{d}(t)\right\|=2 \pi v a, \quad \text { attained at } t=\{0, T / 2, T\}
$$

and, respectively,

$$
\max _{t \in[0, T]}\left\|\ddot{\boldsymbol{p}}_{d}(t)\right\|=4 \pi^{2} v^{2} a, \quad \text { attained at } t=\{T / 4,3 T / 4\}
$$

From the required bounds on these norms

$$
2 \pi v a \leq V_{\max }, \quad 4 \pi^{2} v^{2} a \leq A_{\max }
$$

we obtain the maximum feasible speed $v_{f}$ for this motion as

$$
v_{f}=\min \left\{\frac{V_{\max }}{2 \pi a}, \sqrt{\frac{A_{\max }}{4 \pi^{2} a}}\right\}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \min \left\{\frac{V_{\max }}{a}, \sqrt{\frac{A_{\max }}{a}}\right\} .
$$

Using the given numerical data $a=1, b=0.3[\mathrm{~m}], V_{\max }=3[\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}]$ and $A_{\max }=6\left[\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right]$, we obtain for the speed and the motion time

$$
v_{f}=0.3898\left[\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right] \quad \Rightarrow \quad T_{f}=2.5651[\mathrm{~s}]
$$

The norm of the acceleration saturates the value $A_{\max }=6\left[\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right]$ while the maximum norm of the velocity equals $2.4495[\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}]$, remaining below the limit $V_{\max }$. Figure 7 shows the resulting evolution of the norms of $\dot{\boldsymbol{p}}_{d}(t)$ and $\ddot{\boldsymbol{p}}_{d}(t)$ along the trajectory.


Figure 7: Evolution of $\left\|\dot{\boldsymbol{p}}_{d}(t)\right\|$ and $\left\|\ddot{\boldsymbol{p}}_{d}(t)\right\|$ for $v_{f}=0.3898\left[\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right]$.

## Exercise \#6

For the planar 2 R robot shown in Fig. 3 to be able to execute the task, the elliptic path defined in Exercise $\# 5$ should entirely belong to its primary workspace. Since the robot has strictly different link lengths $l_{1}=a$ and $l_{2}=b<a$, the workspace is a circular annulus with internal radius $\rho_{\text {min }}=a-b>0$ and external radius $\rho_{\min }=a+b>0$. Therefore, the lengths $a$ and $b$ of the semi-axes of the ellipse should satisfy the inequalities

$$
\rho_{\min }=a-b \leq a \leq a+b=\rho_{\max }, \quad \rho_{\min }=a-b \leq b \leq a+b=\rho_{\max } \quad \Rightarrow \quad b<a \leq 2 b .
$$

However, the limit value $a=2 b$ would certainly lead to a singularity when the robot end effector is placed at $P_{0}=(0, b)$, i.e., at the trajectory start (on the inner boundary of the workspace). In
this case, the only inverse kinematics solution is $\boldsymbol{q}_{s}=(\pi / 2, \pi)$, a singular configuration with the second link folded on the first one. The Jacobian of the $2 R$ robot is then

$$
\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{q})=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-a \sin q_{1}-b \sin \left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right) & -b \sin \left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right) \\
a \cos q_{1}+b \cos \left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right) & b \cos \left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{J}\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{s}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-a+b & b \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

so that $\operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{J}\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{s}\right)=0$. The same happens also at the opposite point of the ellipse, $P_{-0}=(-b, 0)$. Therefore, $a$ has to belong to the open interval $a \in(b, 2 b)$ in order to avoid singularities ${ }^{4}$. For illustration, we choose the numerical values $a=1$ and $b=0.6$. The following results will be qualitatively similar for other admissible choices of these two geometric parameters. The position and the velocity of the desired Cartesian trajectory for $v=0.4\left[\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right]$ are shown in Fig. 8. The motion time is $T=1 / v=2.5[\mathrm{~s}]$.


Figure 8: Components of $\boldsymbol{p}_{d}(t)$ and $\dot{\boldsymbol{p}}_{d}(t)$ ( $x$ in blue, $y$ in red).
To proceed, we solve first the inverse kinematics for $P_{0}=\left(p_{0 x}, p_{0 y}\right)=(0, b)=(0,0.6)$, yielding two initial (regular) configurations. From the known formulas for the 2 R robot, we have

$$
c_{2}=\frac{p_{0 x}^{2}+p_{0 y}^{2}-\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}{2 a b}=-0.8333, \quad s_{2}=\sqrt{1-c_{2}^{2}}=0.5528
$$

to be used in

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{q}_{0}^{+} & =\binom{\operatorname{atan} 2\left\{p_{0 y}\left(a+b c_{2}\right)-p_{0 x} b s_{2}, p_{0 x}\left(a+b c_{2}\right)+p_{0 y} b s_{2}\right\}}{\operatorname{atan} 2\left\{s_{2}, c_{2}\right\}} \\
& =\binom{56.44^{\circ}}{146.44^{\circ}}=\binom{0.9851}{2.5559}[\mathrm{rad}] \quad \text { (right arm solution) }
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{q}_{0}^{-} & =\binom{\operatorname{atan} 2\left\{p_{0 y}\left(a+b c_{2}\right)+p_{0 x} b s_{2}, p_{0 x}\left(a+b c_{2}\right)-p_{0 y} b s_{2}\right\}}{\operatorname{atan} 2\left\{-s_{2}, c_{2}\right\}} \\
& =\binom{123.56^{\circ}}{-146.44^{\circ}}=\binom{2.1565}{-2.5559}[\mathrm{rad}] \quad \text { (left arm solution). }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^3]With both choices, the position of the robot end effector will be matched with the desired trajectory $\boldsymbol{p}_{d}(t)$ at time $t=0\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{d}(0)=P_{0}\right)$. With such an initialization, the nominal joint velocity command $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{n}(t)$ that will execute perfectly the entire trajectory $\boldsymbol{p}_{d}(t)$, for $t \in[0, T]$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{n}=\boldsymbol{J}^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{n}\right) \dot{\boldsymbol{p}}_{d}, \quad \boldsymbol{q}_{n}(0)=\boldsymbol{q}_{0}^{ \pm} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the robot Jacobian $\boldsymbol{J}\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{n}(t)\right)$ will never become singular because the end-effector path remains always strictly inside the robot workspace. Therefore, the right or left arm configuration chosen at the start will be kept throughout the entire trajectory. Choosing, e.g., the right arm solution at start, $\boldsymbol{q}_{n}(0)=\boldsymbol{q}_{0}^{+}$, yields the joint velocity command $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{n}(t)$ and the associated joint evolution $\boldsymbol{q}_{n}(t)$ shown in Fig. 9. It is apparent that the velocity commands and the motion of the joints are cyclic (modulo $2 \pi$ for $q_{n 1}(t)$ ). The initial value of the joint velocity command (15) is $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{n}(0)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}4.1888 & 0\end{array}\right)^{T}[\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}]$.



Figure 9: Nominal velocity command $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{n}(t)$ and resulting evolution $\boldsymbol{q}_{n}(t)$ (joint 1 in blue, 2 in red).
Next, let the robot start from another initial configuration $\boldsymbol{q}(0)$ such that the end-effector position error is $\boldsymbol{e}(0)=\boldsymbol{p}_{d}(0)-\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{q}(0)) \neq \mathbf{0}$, with $\boldsymbol{p}=\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{q})$ being the direct kinematics of the 2R robot, but $e_{y}(0)=0$. For instance, we choose $\boldsymbol{q}(0)=(0, \pi / 2)$ (still a 'right arm' configuration), corresponding to an error $e_{x}(0)=-a=-1[\mathrm{~m}]$ only along the $x$-direction. In order to obtain asymptotic tracking of the desired trajectory $\boldsymbol{p}_{d}(t)$ together with the requested performance during the initial transient, the joint velocity control law $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}=\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{c}(\boldsymbol{q}, t)$ is designed using feedback from the Cartesian error. The control law is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{c}=\boldsymbol{J}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{q})\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{p}}_{d}+\boldsymbol{K}_{P}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{d}-\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{q})\right)\right), \quad \boldsymbol{K}_{P}=r \cdot \boldsymbol{I}_{2 \times 2}>0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the rate $r=5$ introduced in the diagonal, positive definite gain matrix $\boldsymbol{K}_{P}$. This choice guarantees that, in the absence of further disturbances, we have

$$
e_{x}(t)=e_{x}(0) \exp (-5 t), \quad e_{y}(t) \equiv 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

Figure 10 shows the desired and the actually executed Cartesian trajectory, together with the Cartesian position error, the feedback control commands, and the resulting motion of the robot joints. Finally, the initial value of the joint velocity control law (16) is $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{c}(0)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 12.5221\end{array}\right)^{T}[\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}]$.

## Exercise \#7

The following self-explanatory Matlab code computes the minimum number of bits of the multiturn absolute encoder which satisfies the given specifications. This number is bits $=16$, namely:


Figure 10: [Top] Components of the desired $\boldsymbol{p}_{d}(t)$ (dashed) and actually obtained $\boldsymbol{p}(t)$ (continuous) and those of the associated error $\boldsymbol{e}(t)=\boldsymbol{p}_{d}(t)-\boldsymbol{p}(t)$ ( $x$ in blue, $y$ in red). [Bottom] Velocity control law $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{c}(t)$ and resulting evolution $\boldsymbol{q}_{c}(t)$ (joint 1 in blue, 2 in red).

6 bits count separately the number of motor turns that covers the entire joint range of the flange, while 10 bits (equal to the number of tracks of the main encoder wheel) allow to achieve the desired angular resolution on the flange side of the transmission.

```
% data
joint_range=700 %[deg] % range of the flange rotation
nr=30
res_joint=0.02 %[deg] % desired resolution at the flange side
% computation
disp('all angles are in degrees')
turns_joint=joint_range/360
turns_motor=nr*turns_joint
bits_turn=ceil(log2(turns_motor))
sectors_joint=360/res_joint
tracks_motor=sectors_joint/nr
res_motor=sectors_motor/360
bits_res=ceil(log2(sectors_motor))
bits=bits_turn+bits_res
% end
```


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Extract the expressions in (2) from ${ }^{0} \boldsymbol{T}_{3}(\boldsymbol{q})={ }^{0} \boldsymbol{A}_{1}\left(q_{1}\right){ }^{1} \boldsymbol{A}_{2}\left(q_{2}\right)^{2} \boldsymbol{A}_{3}\left(q_{3}\right)$ or just use direct inspection of the figure.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ This is a typical 'adding apples and oranges' issue: which is larger, 1 radiant or 1 meter? 1 radiant or 100 centimeters?

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ We simply embed here the rows of $\boldsymbol{J}_{t}(\overline{\boldsymbol{q}})$ in the correct rows of $\boldsymbol{J}(\overline{\boldsymbol{q}})$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ It should be noted that the velocity vector $\dot{\boldsymbol{p}}_{d}$ is actually feasible even in the two singular situations and can be obtained by the use of the pseudoinverse of $\boldsymbol{J}$. However, too large joint velocities would be generated in that case while approaching a singularity.

