Robotics I September 12, 2016

Exercise 1

The last three revolute joints (labeled from 4 to 6) of the 6-dof Universal Robot UR10 constitute a non-spherical wrist and are described by the Denavit-Hartenberg parameters in Tab. 1.

i	α_i	a_i	$d_i \ (\mathrm{mm})$	θ_i
4	$-\pi/2$	0	$d_4 = 163.9$	q_4
5	$\pi/2$	0	$d_5 = 115.7$	q_5
6	0	0	$d_6 = 92.2$	q_6

Table 1: Denavit-Hartenberg parameters of the non-spherical wrist of the UR10 robot.

- Provide the analytic expressions of the inverse kinematic mapping, which takes as input a desired orientation of the (end-effector) frame 6, as expressed by a rotation matrix \mathbf{R} , and provides as output *all* solutions for the wrist angles (q_4, q_5, q_6) in the regular case. Characterize also the singular cases, and explain what happens in such situations.
- Apply your formulas to solve the inverse kinematics for the UR10 robot wrist, given the following numerical input:

$$\boldsymbol{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Exercise 2

Consider the planar RPR robot in Fig. 1. The prismatic axis of the second joint is skewed by an angle $\beta = 45^{\circ}$ with respect to the first link.



Figure 1: A planar RPR robot with its joint coordinates q_1 , q_2 and q_3 .

- Using the coordinates shown, provide the Jacobian matrix $\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{q})$ that relates $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}} = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{q}_1 & \dot{q}_2 & \dot{q}_3 \end{pmatrix}^T$ to the velocity $\dot{\boldsymbol{p}} = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{p}_x & \dot{p}_y \end{pmatrix}^T$ of the end effector and find the singularities of this mapping.
- Let the robot be at $\boldsymbol{q}_0 = (\pi/2 \ 0.2 \ -\pi/4)^T$ [rad,m,rad], with kinematic data $\ell_1 = 1$ and $\ell_3 = 0.5$ [m]. For a desired end-effector velocity $\dot{\boldsymbol{p}}_d = (-1 \ 0)^T$ [m/s], determine numerically
 - the minimum norm (least squares) solution $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{LS}$;
 - another solution $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_0 \neq \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{LS}$, such that $\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{q}_0)\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_0 = \dot{\boldsymbol{p}}_d$.

[120 minutes; open books]

Solution

September 12, 2016

Exercise 1

From Tab. 1, we build the rotation matrices

$${}^{3}\boldsymbol{R}_{4}(q_{4}) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos q_{4} & 0 & -\sin q_{4} \\ \sin q_{4} & 0 & \cos q_{4} \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad {}^{4}\boldsymbol{R}_{5}(q_{5}) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos q_{5} & 0 & \sin q_{5} \\ \sin q_{5} & 0 & -\cos q_{5} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$${}^{5}\boldsymbol{R}_{6}(q_{6}) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos q_{6} & -\sin q_{6} & 0 \\ \sin q_{6} & \cos q_{6} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the usual compact notation for trigonometric functions, the orientation of the end-effector frame expressed w.r.t. frame 3 of the UR10 robot (which is taken here as reference frame for the wrist kinematics) is given by

$${}^{4}\boldsymbol{R}_{6}(\boldsymbol{q}) = {}^{3}\boldsymbol{R}_{4}(q_{4}) {}^{4}\boldsymbol{R}_{5}(q_{5}) {}^{5}\boldsymbol{R}_{6}(q_{6}) = \begin{pmatrix} c_{4}c_{5}c_{6} - s_{4}s_{6} & -s_{4}c_{6} - c_{4}c_{5}s_{6} & c_{4}s_{5} \\ c_{4}s_{6} + s_{4}c_{5}c_{6} & c_{4}c_{6} - s_{4}c_{5}s_{6} & s_{4}s_{5} \\ -s_{5}c_{6} & s_{5}s_{6} & c_{5} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where $\boldsymbol{q} = \begin{pmatrix} q_4 & q_5 & q_6 \end{pmatrix}^T$.

Let R_{ij} (i, j = 1, 2, 3) be the elements of the desired orientation matrix \mathbf{R} . We solve then the matrix equation ${}^{4}\mathbf{R}_{6}(\mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{R}$ by inspecting the structure of the scalar elements in (1). It is easy to see that

$$q_5 = \text{ATAN2}\left\{\pm\sqrt{R_{13}^2 + R_{23}^2}, R_{33}\right\},\tag{2}$$

providing in the regular case two solutions q_5^+ and q_5^- (with equal modulus and opposite signs). Provided that $R_{13}^2 + R_{23}^2 = \sin q_5 \neq 0$, namely that $q_5 \neq 0$ and $\neq \pi$ as a result of (2), we can solve for the other two angles in an unique way as

$$q_4 = \text{ATAN2}\left\{\frac{R_{23}}{\sin q_5^{\pm}}, \frac{R_{13}}{\sin q_5^{\pm}}\right\}, \qquad q_6 = \text{ATAN2}\left\{\frac{R_{32}}{\sin q_5^{\pm}}, \frac{-R_{31}}{\sin q_5^{\pm}}\right\}, \tag{3}$$

yielding the two pairs (q_4^+, q_6^+) and (q_4^-, q_6^-) , associated respectively to the two choices q_5^+ and q_5^- in (2).

In the singular case, $\sin q_5 = 0$, $\cos q_5 = \pm 1$, only the sum or the difference of the two other joint angles will be defined.

When the formulas (2-3) are applied to the desired orientation \mathbf{R} , they yield the two solutions

$$\boldsymbol{q}^{+} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi/2 \\ 3\pi/4 \\ \pi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.5708 \\ 2.3562 \\ 3.1416 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{q}^{-} = \begin{pmatrix} -\pi/2 \\ -3\pi/4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(4)

Exercise 2

For a generic skew angle $\beta,$ the direct kinematics of the RPR planar robot in Fig. 1 is

$$\boldsymbol{p} = \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{q}) = \begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 \cos q_1 + q_2 \cos(\beta + q_1) + \ell_3 \cos(\beta + q_1 + q_3) \\ \ell_1 \sin q_1 + q_2 \sin(\beta + q_1) + \ell_3 \sin(\beta + q_1 + q_3) \end{pmatrix}$$

and its Jacobian $J = \partial f / \partial q$ is given by

$$\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{q}) = \begin{pmatrix} -\ell_1 \sin q_1 - q_2 \sin(\beta + q_1) - \ell_3 \sin(\beta + q_1 + q_3) & \cos(\beta + q_1) & -\ell_3 \sin(\beta + q_1 + q_3) \\ \ell_1 \cos q_1 + q_2 \cos(\beta + q_1) + \ell_3 \cos(\beta + q_1 + q_3) & \sin(\beta + q_1) & \ell_3 \cos(\beta + q_1 + q_3) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(5)

To find the singularities of the differential kinematics, namely the configurations where the resulting matrix J(q) loses rank, we compute the three minors obtained by deleting, respectively, the third, second, or first column of J(q). We obtain

$$\det \mathbf{J}_{[-3]} = -(q_2 + \ell_1 \cos \beta + \ell_3 \cos q_3),$$

$$\det \mathbf{J}_{[-2]} = -\ell_3 (\ell_1 \sin(\beta + q_3) + q_2 \sin q_3),$$

$$\det \mathbf{J}_{[-1]} = \ell_3 \cos q_3.$$

All three determinants are simultaneously equal to zero if and only if

$$\cos q_3 = 0, \qquad q_2 = -\ell_1 \cos \beta.$$

When this happens, the rank of the Jacobian J in (5) falls down to 1. If we plug in now the given value $\beta = \pi/4$, we find the singularity at $q_2 = -\ell_1 \sqrt{2}/2$, $q_3 = \pm \pi/2$ (for any value of q_1)¹.

Next, at the configuration $\boldsymbol{q}_0 = (\pi/2 \ 0.2 \ -\pi/4)^T$ and with the kinematic data $\beta = \pi/4, \ell_1 = 1$, and $\ell_3 = 0.5$, the Jacobian in (5) becomes

$$\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{q}_0) = \begin{pmatrix} -1.6414 & -0.7071 & -0.5\\ -0.1414 & 0.7071 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is of full rank. For $\dot{p}_d = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^T$, the minimum norm solution is obtained using the pseudoinverse of the Jacobian²

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{LS} = \boldsymbol{J}^{\#}(\boldsymbol{q}_0) \dot{\boldsymbol{p}}_d = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5185\\ 0.1037\\ 0.1512 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(6)

Other solutions can be obtained in many ways. For instance, when 'freezing' the prismatic joint $(\dot{q}_2 = 0)$ we would still have a non-singular sub-Jacobian $J_{[-2]}(q_0)$. Thus, by computing

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{q}_{0,1} \\ \dot{q}_{0,3} \end{pmatrix} = \boldsymbol{J}_{[-2]}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{q}_0) \dot{\boldsymbol{p}}_d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

¹Another notable case is when $\beta = \pm \pi/2$, i.e., the prismatic joint is orthogonal to the first link. In that case, the singularity occurs when $q_2 = 0$ and $q_3 = \pm \pi/2$.

²Note that the units of the solution vector in (6) are non-homogeneous, namely [rad/s] for the first and third joints and [m/s] for the second joint. In this context, the concept of (unweighted) norm is not a properly defined one. Nonetheless, the use of a pseudoinverse solution is still a common practice even in such cases.

a different feasible solution is obtained as

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{q}_{0,1} \\ 0 \\ \dot{q}_{0,3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\tag{7}$$

Note that only the last joint is eventually used in this case in order to realize the desired endeffector motion. Indeed, the norm of this joint velocity, $\|\dot{q}_0\| = 2$, is larger than the one of the pseudoinverse solution, $\|\dot{q}_{LS}\| = 0.55$.

* * * * *