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## Trajectory planner interfaces


robot action described as a sequence of poses or configurations
(with possible exchange of contact forces)

TRAJECTORY PLANNER
reference profile/values
(continuous or discrete) for the robot controller

# Trajectory definition a standard procedure for industrial robots 

1. define Cartesian pose points (position+orientation) using the teach-box
2. program an (average) velocity between these points, as a $0-100 \%$ of a maximum system value (different for Cartesian- and joint-space motion)
3. linear interpolation in the joint space between points sampled from the built trajectory
examples of additional features
a) over-fly

b) sensor-driven STOP
c) circular path through 3 points

## KUKA user interfaces

- Teach pendant
- KRL programming
- Ethernet RSI XML (12ms)

- Fast Research Interface (1ms)


Fig. 4-1: Front view of KCP

| 1 | Mode selector switch | 10 | Numeric keypad |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Drives ON | 11 | Softkeys |
| 3 | Drives OFF / SSB GUI | 12 | Start backwards key |
| 4 | EMERGENCY STOP button | 13 | Start key |
| 5 | Space Mouse | 14 | STOP key |
| 6 | Right-hand status keys | 15 | Window selection key |
| 7 | Enter key | 16 | ESC key |
| 8 | Arrow keys | 17 | Left-hand status keys |
| 9 | Keypad | 18 | Menu keys |

## from 06_ProgrammingArchitectures block of slides (\#6)

## KRL language

## - basic instruction set:



| Inputs/outputs |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ANIN | (>>> 10.7.1 "ANIN" page 157) |
| ANOUT | (>>> 10.7.2 "ANOUT" page 158) |
| DIGIN | (>>> 10.7.3 "DIGIN" page 159) |
| PULSE | (>>> 10.7.4 "PULSE" page 160) |
| SIGNAL | (>>> 10.7.5 "SIGNAL" page 164) |
| Subprograms and functions |  |
| RETURN | (>>> 10.8.1 "RETURN" page 165) |
| Interrupt programming |  |
| BRAKE | (>>> 10.9.1 "BRAKE" page 166) |
| INTERRUPT | (>>> 10.9.2 "INTERRUPT" page 166) |
| INTERRUPT ... DECL ... WHEN ... D 0 |  |
| RESUME | (>>> 10.9.4 "RESUME" page 169) |

## Path-related switching actions (=Trigger)

TRIGGER WHEN DISTANCE TRIGGER WHEN PATH $\quad(\ggg 10.10 .2$ "TRIGGER WHEN PATH" page 173)

## Communication

( $\ggg 10.11$ "Communication" page 176)

## System functions

VARSTATE()

- basic data set: frames, vectors + DECLaration


## KRL language

- typical motion primitives



## KRL language

- multiple coordinate frames (in Cartesian space) and jogging of robot joints



## Trajectory definition

 a standard procedure for industrial robots1. define Cartesian pose points (position+orientation) using the teach-box
2. program an (average) velocity between these points, as a $0-100 \%$ of a maximum system value (different for Cartesian- and joint-space motion)
3. linear interpolation in the joint space between points sampled from the built trajectory
examples of additional features
a) over-fly

b) sensor-driven STOP
c) circular path through 3 points
main drawbacks
■ semi-manual programming (as in "first generation" robot languages)

- limited visualization of motion
$\square$ a mathematical formalization of trajectories is useful/needed


## Some typical trajectories

- Point-to-point Cartesian motion with an intermediate point


Straight lines as Cartesian path

video
Interpolation with Bezier curves

## Some typical trajectories

- Timing laws: Cartesian path with (dis-)continuous tangent


Square path at constant speed

video
Square path with trapezoidal speed profile

## Joint and Cartesian trajectories

- assigned task: arm reconfiguration between two inverse kinematic solutions associated to a given end-effector pose

- initial and finall configuration
- same Cartesian pose (no change!): the motion cannot be fully specified in the Cartesian space
- to perform this task, the robot should leave the given end-effector pose and then return to it
- a self-motion could be sufficient
- if there is (task) redundancy ( $m<n$ )
- if the robot starts in a singularity
for "simple" manipulators (e.g., all industrial robots) and $m=n$, the execution of these tasks will require the passage through a singular configuration


## Joint and Cartesian trajectories

- a reconfiguration task (or...
video

three-phase trajectory: circular path + self-motion + linear path
passing through singularity)



## From task to trajectory


example: TASK planner provides $A, B$ TRAJECTORY planner generates $p(t)$

## Trajectory planning operative sequence

(1)

TASK planning

- sequence of pose points ("knots") in Cartesian space $\downarrow$ - interpolation in Cartesian space

- Cartesian geometric path (position + orientation): $p=p(s) \beth$
(2)
- path sampling and kinematic inversion
- sequence of "knots" in joint space ᄀ
- interpolation in joint space
geometric path in joint space: $q=q(\lambda)$
additional issues to be considered in the planning process
- obstacle avoidance
- on-line/off-line computational load
- sequence (2) is more "dense" than (1)


## Example



## Path and timing law

- after choosing a path, the trajectory definition is completed by the choice of a timing law

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{~s}) & \Rightarrow \mathrm{s}=\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{t}) & \text { (Cartesian space) } \\
\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{q}(\lambda) & \Rightarrow \lambda=\lambda(\mathrm{t}) & \text { (joint space) }
\end{array}
$$

- if $s(t)=t$, path parameterization is the natural one given by time
- the timing law
- is chosen based on task specifications (stop in a point, move at constant velocity, and so on)
- may consider optimality criteria (min transfer time, min energy,...)
- constraints are imposed by actuator capabilities (max torque, max velocity,...) and/or by the task (e.g., max acceleration on payload)
note: on parameterized paths, a space-time decomposition takes place

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { e.g., in Cartesian } \\
& \text { space }
\end{aligned} \dot{p}(\mathrm{t})=\frac{\mathrm{dp}}{\mathrm{ds}} \dot{\mathrm{~s}} \quad \ddot{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{t})=\frac{\mathrm{dp}}{\mathrm{ds}} \ddot{\mathrm{~s}}+\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{ds}} \dot{s}^{2}
$$

## Trajectory classification

- space of definition
- Cartesian, joint
- task type
- point-to-point (PTP), multiple points (knots), continuous, concatenated
- path geometry
- rectilinear, polynomial, exponential, cycloid, ...
- timing law
- bang-bang in acceleration, trapezoidal in velocity, polynomial, ...
- coordinated or independent
- motion of all joints (or of all Cartesian components) starts and ends at the same instants (say, $t=0$ and $t=T$ ) $=$ single timing law
or
- motions are timed independently (according to the requested displacement and robot capabilities) - mostly only in the joint space


## Cartesian vs. joint trajectory planning

- planning in Cartesian space
- allows a more direct visualization of the generated path
- obstacle avoidance, lack of "wandering"
- planning in joint space
- does not need on-line kinematic inversion
- issues in kinematic inversion
- $\dot{q}$ and $\ddot{q}$ (or higher-order derivatives) may also be needed
- Cartesian task specifications involve the geometric path, but also bounds on the associated timing law
- for redundant robots, choice among $\infty^{n-m}$ inverse solutions, based on optimality criteria or additional auxiliary tasks
- off-line planning in advance is not always feasible
- e.g., when environment interaction occurs or when sensorbased motion is needed


## Relevant characteristics

- computational efficiency and memory space
- e.g., store only the coefficients of a polynomial function
- predictability and accuracy
- vs. "wandering" out of the knots
- vs. "overshoot" on final position
- flexibility
- allowing concatenation of primitive segments
- over-fly
- continuity
- in space and/or in time
- at least $C^{1}$, but also up to jerk = third derivative in time

A robot trajectory with bounded jerk


## Trajectory planning in joint space

- $q=q(t)$ in time or $q=q(\lambda)$ in space (then with $\lambda=\lambda(t)$ )
- it is sufficient to work component-wise ( $q_{i}$ in vector $q$ )
- an implicit definition of the trajectory, by solving a problem with specified boundary conditions in a given class of functions
- typical classes: polynomials (cubic, quintic,...), trigonometric (cosine, sines, combined, ...), clothoids, ...
- imposed conditions
- passage through points = interpolation
- initial, final, intermediate velocity (or geometric tangent for paths)
- initial, final acceleration (or geometric curvature)
- continuity of time-(or space-)derivative up to the $k$-th order: class $C^{k}$
many of the following methods and remarks can be directly applied also to Cartesian trajectory planning (and vice versa)!


## Cubic polynomial in space

$$
q(0)=q_{0} \quad q(1)=q_{1} \quad q^{\prime}(0)=v_{0} \quad q^{\prime}(1)=v_{1} \longleftarrow 4 \text { conditions }
$$

$$
q(\lambda)=q_{0}+\Delta q\left(a \lambda^{3}+b \lambda^{2}+c \lambda+d\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta q=q_{1}-q_{0} \\
& \lambda \in[0,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

4 coefficients $\longrightarrow$ "doubly normalized" polynomial $q_{N}(\lambda)$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
q_{N}(0)=0 \Leftrightarrow d=0 & q_{N}(1)=1 \Leftrightarrow a+b+c=1 \\
q_{N}^{\prime}(0)=d q_{N} /\left.d \lambda\right|_{\lambda=0}=c=v_{0} / \Delta q & q_{N}^{\prime}(1)=d q_{N} /\left.d \lambda\right|_{\lambda=1}=3 a+2 b+c=v_{1} / \Delta q
\end{array}
$$

$$
\text { special case: } v_{0}=v_{1}=0 \text { (zero tangent) }
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
q_{N}^{\prime}(0)=0 \Leftrightarrow c=0 \\
q_{N}(1)=1 \Leftrightarrow a+b=1 \\
q_{N}^{\prime}(1)=0 \Leftrightarrow 3 a+2 b=0
\end{array}\right\} \Leftrightarrow \begin{aligned}
& a=-2 \\
& b=3
\end{aligned}
$$

## Cubic polynomial in time

$$
q(0)=q_{i n} q(T)=q_{\text {fin }} \quad \dot{q}(0)=v_{\text {in }} \quad \dot{q}(T)=v_{\text {fin }} \longleftarrow 4 \text { conditions }
$$

$$
q(\tau)=q_{i n}+\Delta q\left(a \tau^{3}+b \tau^{2}+c \tau+d\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta q & =q_{f i n}-q_{i n} \\
\tau & =t / T \in[0,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

4 coefficients $\longrightarrow$ "doubly normalized" polynomial $q_{N}(\tau)$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
q_{N}(0)=0 \Leftrightarrow d=0 & q_{N}(1)=1 \Leftrightarrow a+b+c=1 \\
q_{N}^{\prime}(0)=d q_{N} /\left.d \tau\right|_{\tau=0}=c=\frac{v_{\text {in }} T}{\Delta q} & q_{N}^{\prime}(1)=d q_{N} /\left.d \tau\right|_{\tau=1}=3 a+2 b+c=\frac{v_{f i n} T}{\Delta q}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\text { special case: } v_{\text {in }}=v_{\text {fin }}=0 \text { (rest-to-rest) }
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
q_{N}^{\prime}(0)=0 & \Leftrightarrow c=0 \\
q_{N}(1)=1 & \Leftrightarrow a+b=1 \\
q_{N}^{\prime}(1)=0 & \Leftrightarrow 3 a+2 b=0
\end{array}\right\} \Leftrightarrow \begin{aligned}
& a=-2 \\
& b=3
\end{aligned}
$$

## A trigonometric alternative



## Quintic polynomial

$$
\begin{aligned}
q(\tau) & =a \tau^{5}+b \tau^{4}+c \tau^{3}+d \tau^{2}+e \tau+f \quad 6 \text { coefficients } \\
\tau & \in[0,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

allows to satisfy 6 conditions, for example (in normalized time $\tau=t / T$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q(0)=q_{0} \quad q(1)=q_{1} \quad q^{\prime}(0)=v_{0} T \quad q^{\prime}(1)=v_{1} T \quad q^{\prime \prime}(0)=a_{0} T^{2} q^{\prime \prime}(1)=a_{1} T^{2} \\
& q(\tau)=(1-\tau)^{3}\left(q_{0}+\left(3 q_{0}+v_{0} T\right) \tau+\left(a_{0} T^{2}+6 v_{0} T+12 q_{0}\right) \tau^{2} / 2\right) \\
& +\tau^{3}\left(q_{1}+\left(3 q_{1}-v_{1} T\right)(1-\tau)+\left(a_{1} T^{2}-6 v_{1} T+12 q_{1}\right)(1-\tau)^{2} / 2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

special case: $v_{0}=v_{1}=a_{0}=a_{1}=0$

$$
q(\tau)=q_{0}+\Delta q\left(6 \tau^{5}-15 \tau^{4}+10 \tau^{3}\right) \quad \Delta q=q_{1}-q_{0}
$$

## Higher-order polynomials

- a suitable solution class for satisfying symmetric boundary conditions (in a PTP motion) that impose zero values on higher-order derivatives
- the interpolating polynomial is always of odd degree
- the coefficients of such (doubly normalized) polynomial are always integers, alternate in sign, sum up to unity, and are zero for all terms up to the power $=($ degree -1$) / 2$
- in all other cases (e.g., for interpolating a large number $N$ of points), their use is not recommended
- there is a unique polynomial of degree $N-1$ interpolating $N$ points
- $k$-th degree polynomials have $k-1$ maximum and minimum points
- oscillations arise out of the interpolation points (wandering)


## Interpolating $N=2$ knots

with high-order polynomials and zero boundary conditions


## Interpolating $N$ knots $q_{1} \ldots q_{N}$

with a unique polynomial of degree $N-1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=2 \Rightarrow \text { a line } \\
& q(\tau)=a_{0}+a_{1} \tau \\
& =q_{1}+\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right) \tau \\
& N \Rightarrow \text { a polynomial of degree } N-1 \\
& q(\tau)=a_{0}+a_{1} \tau+\cdots+a_{N-1} \tau^{N-1} \\
& \tau=\frac{t}{T} \in[0,1] \\
& N=3 \Rightarrow \text { a quadric } \\
& q(\tau)=a_{0}+a_{1} \tau+a_{2} \tau^{2} \\
& a_{0}=q_{1} \\
& a_{1}=\frac{\left(q_{3}-q_{1}\right) \tau_{m}{ }^{2}-\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)}{\tau_{m}\left(\tau_{m}-1\right)} \\
& a_{2}=\frac{\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)-\left(q_{3}-q_{1}\right) \tau_{m}}{\tau_{m}\left(\tau_{m}-1\right)} \\
& \tau_{m} \in(0,1), \quad q\left(\tau_{m}\right)=q_{2} \\
& N=4 \Rightarrow \text { a cubic } \\
& q(\tau)=a_{0}+a_{1} \tau+a_{2} \tau^{2}+a_{3} \tau^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4-3-4 polynomials

three phases (Lift off, Travel, Set down) in a pick-and-place operation in time

boundary conditions

$$
\begin{array}{rlc}
q\left(t_{0}\right)=q_{0} \quad q\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)=q\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)=q_{1} \quad q\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)=q\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=q_{2} & \left.q\left(t_{f}\right)=q_{f}\right\} \text { passages }
\end{array} \begin{gathered}
6 \\
\left.\dot{q}\left(t_{0}\right)=\dot{q}\left(t_{f}\right)=0 \quad \ddot{q}\left(t_{0}\right)=\ddot{q}\left(t_{f}\right)=0\right\} \quad \begin{array}{c}
4 \text { initial/final } \\
\text { velocity/acceleration }
\end{array} \\
\left.\dot{q}\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)=\dot{q}\left(t_{i}^{+}\right) \quad \ddot{q}\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)=\ddot{q}\left(t_{i}^{+}\right) \quad i=1,2\right\} \begin{array}{c}
4 \text { continuity up } \\
\text { to acceleration }
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Interpolation using splines

- problem
interpolate $N$ knots, with continuity up to the second derivative
- solution
spline: $N-1$ cubic polynomials, concatenated so to pass through $N$ knots, and continuous up to the second derivative at the $N-2$ internal knots
- $4(N-1)$ coefficients
- $4(N-1)-2$ conditions, or
- 2( $N-1$ ) of passage (for each cubic, in the two knots at its ends)
- $N-2$ of continuity for first derivative (at the internal knots)
- $N-2$ of continuity for second derivative (at the internal knots)
- 2 free parameters are still left over
- can be used, e.g., to assign initial and final derivatives, $v_{1}$ and $v_{N}$
- presented next in terms of time $t$, but similar in terms of space $\lambda$
- then: first derivative = velocity, second derivative = acceleration


## Building a cubic spline



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
\theta_{k}(\tau)=a_{k 0}+a_{k 1} \tau+a_{k 2} \tau^{2}+a_{k 3} \tau^{3} \quad \tau=t-t_{k} \in\left[0, h_{k}\right] \\
(k=1, \cdots, N-1)
\end{array} \\
& \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { continuity conditions } \\
\text { for velocity and acceleration }
\end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{l}
\dot{\theta}_{k}\left(h_{k}\right)=\dot{\theta}_{k+1}(0) \quad k=1, \cdots, N-2 \\
\ddot{\theta}_{k}\left(h_{k}\right)=\ddot{\theta}_{k+1}(0)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## An efficient algorithm

1. if all velocities $v_{k}$ at internal knots were known, then each cubic in the spline would be uniquely determined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\theta_{k}(0)=q_{k}=a_{k 0}  \tag{1}\\
\dot{\theta}_{k}(0)=v_{k}=a_{k 1}
\end{gather*} \quad\left(\begin{array}{cc}
h_{k}^{2} & h_{k}^{3} \\
2 h_{k} & 3 h_{k}^{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{a_{k 2}}{a_{k 3}}=\binom{q_{k+1}-q_{k}-v_{k} h_{k}}{v_{k+1}-v_{k}}
$$

2. impose the continuity for accelerations ( $N-2$ conditions)

$$
\ddot{\theta}_{k}\left(h_{k}\right)=2 a_{k 2}+6 a_{k 3} h_{k}=2 a_{k+1,2}=\ddot{\theta}_{k+1}(0)
$$

3. expressing the coefficients $a_{k 2}, a_{k 3}, a_{k+1,2}$ in terms of the still unknown knot velocities (see step 1.) yields a linear system of equations that is always solvable


## Structure of $A(\boldsymbol{h})$


diagonally dominant matrix (for $h_{k}>0$ )
[the same tridiagonal matrix for all joints]

## Structure of $b\left(\boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{q}, v_{1}, v_{N}\right)$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{3}{h_{1} h_{2}}\left(h_{1}^{2}\left(q_{3}-q_{2}\right)+h_{2}^{2}\left(q_{2}-q_{1}\right)\right)-h_{2} v_{1} \\
\frac{3}{h_{2} h_{3}}\left(h_{2}^{2}\left(q_{4}-q_{3}\right)+h_{3}^{2}\left(q_{3}-q_{2}\right)\right) \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\frac{3}{h_{N-2} h_{N-1}}\left(h_{N-2}^{2}\left(q_{N}-q_{N-1}\right)+h_{N-1}^{2}\left(q_{N-1}-q_{N-2}\right)\right)-h_{N-2} v_{N}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Properties of splines

- a spline (in space) is the solution with minimum curvature among all interpolating functions having continuous second derivative
- for cyclic tasks ( $q_{1}=q_{N}$ ), it is preferable to simply impose continuity of first and second derivatives (i.e., velocity and acceleration in time) at the first/last knot as "squaring" conditions
- choosing $v_{1}=v_{N}=v$ (for a given $v$ ) doesn't guarantee in general the continuity up to the second derivative (when in time, the acceleration)
- in this way, the first = last knot will be handled as all other internal knots
- a spline is uniquely determined from the set of data $q_{1}, \cdots, q_{N}$, $h_{1}, \cdots, h_{N-1}, v_{1}, v_{N}$
- in time, the total motion occurs in $T=\sum_{k} h_{k}=t_{N}-t_{1}$
- the time intervals $h_{k}$ can be chosen so as to minimize $T$ (linear objective function) under (nonlinear) bounds on velocity and acceleration in $[0, T]$
- spline construction can be suitably modified when the second derivative (in time, the acceleration) is also assigned at the initial and final knots


## A modification handling assigned initial and final accelerations

- two more parameters are needed in order to impose also the initial acceleration $\alpha_{1}$ and final acceleration $\alpha_{N}$
- two "fictitious knots" are inserted in the first and the last original intervals, increasing the number of cubic polynomials from $N-1$ to $N+1$
- in these two knots only continuity conditions on position, velocity and acceleration are imposed
$\Rightarrow$ two free parameters are left over (one in the first cubic and the other in the last cubic), which are used to satisfy the boundary conditions on acceleration
- depending on the (time) placement of the two additional knots, the resulting spline changes ...


## A numerical example

- $N=4$ knots (o) $\Rightarrow 3$ cubic polynomials
- joint values $q_{1}=0, q_{2}=2 \pi, q_{3}=\pi / 2, q_{4}=\pi$
- at $t_{1}=0, t_{2}=2, t_{3}=3, t_{4}=5 \Rightarrow h_{1}=2, h_{2}=1, h_{3}=2$
- boundary velocities $v_{1}=v_{4}=0$
- 2 added knots to impose accelerations at both ends (5 cubic polynomials)
- boundary accelerations $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{4}=0$
- two placements: at $t_{1}^{\prime}=0.5$ and $t_{3}^{\prime}=4.5(\times)$; or at $t_{1}^{\prime \prime}=1.5$ and $t_{4}^{\prime \prime}=3.5(*)$


