Structural Operational Semantics of Program

Giuseppe De Giacomo



Giuseppe De Giacomo (Sapienza)

Structural Operational Semantics of Program

1 / 20

Programs

while-programs

We will consider a very simple programming language that we call "while".

a atomic action skip empty action $\delta_1;\delta_2$ sequence

As atomic action we will typically consider assignments:

while ϕ do δ

x := v

if-then-else

while-loop

As test any boolean condition on the current state of the memory.

if ϕ then δ_1 else δ_2

Note that our considerations extend to full-fledged programming language (as Java).

Program semantics

Programs are syntactic objects.

How do we assign a formal semantics to them?

Any idea of what the semantics should talk about?

Giuseppe De Giacomo (Sapienza) Structural Operational Semantics of Program

Evaluation semantics

Idea: describe the overall result of the evaluation of the program.

Evaluation semantics

Given a program δ and a memory state s compute the memory state s' obtained by executing δ in s.

More formally: define the relation:

$$(\delta, s) \longrightarrow s'$$

where δ is a program, s is the memory state in which the program is evaluated, and s' is the memory state obtained by the evaluation.

Such a relation can be defined inductively in a standard way using the so called evaluation (structural) rules

Evaluation semantics: references

The general approach we follows is is the structural operational semantics approach [Plotkin81, Nielson&Nielson99].

This whole-computation semantics is often call: evaluation semantics or natural semantics or computation semantic.

Evaluation rules for while-programs

Evaluation rules for while-programs

$$Act: \quad \frac{(a,s) \longrightarrow s'}{true} \quad \text{ if } s \models Pre(a) \text{ and } s' = Post(a,s)$$

special case: assignment
$$\frac{(x:=v,s)\longrightarrow s'}{true} \quad \text{if } s'=s[x=v]$$

$$Skip: \frac{(skip, s) \longrightarrow s}{true}$$

$$\mathit{Seq}: \quad \frac{(\delta_1; \delta_2, \ s) \longrightarrow s'}{(\delta_1, s) \longrightarrow s'' \ \land \ (\delta_2, s'') \longrightarrow s'}$$

$$while: \qquad \frac{ \quad \text{(while } \phi \text{ do } \delta, s) \longrightarrow s }{ true } \qquad \text{if } s \models \neg \phi \qquad \frac{ \quad \text{(while } \phi \text{ do } \delta, s) \longrightarrow s' }{ (\delta, s) \longrightarrow s'' \ \land \ \text{(while } \phi \text{ do } \delta, s'') \longrightarrow s' } \qquad \text{if } s \models \phi$$

Structural rules

The structural rules have the following schema:

which is to be interpreted logically as:

$$\forall$$
(ANTECEDENT \land SIDE-CONDITION \supset CONSEQUENT)

where $\forall Q$ stands for the universal closure of all free variables occurring in Q, and, typically, ANTECEDENT, SIDE-CONDITION and CONSEQUENT share free variables.

The structural rules define inductively a relation, namely: **the smallest relation satisfying the rules**.

Giuseppe De Giacomo (Sapienza)

Structural Operational Semantics of Program

7 / 20

Examples

Example (evaluation semantics)

Compute s_f in the following cases, assuming that in the memory state S_0 we have x=10 and y=0:

- $(x := x + 1; x := x * 2, S_0) \longrightarrow s_f$
- (x := x + 1;

if (x < 10) then x := 0 else x := 1;

$$x := x + 1, S_0) \longrightarrow S_f$$

• (y := 0;while (y < 4)do $\{x := x * 2; y := y + 1\}, S_0) \longrightarrow S_f$

Transition semantics

Idea: describe the result of executing a **single step** of the program.

Transition semantics

- Given a program δ and a memory state s compute the memory state s' and the program δ' that remains to be executed obtained by executing a single step of δ in s.
- Assert when a program δ can be considered successfully terminated in a memory state s.

Giuseppe De Giacomo (Sapienza)

Structural Operational Semantics of Program

9 / 20

Transition semantics

More formally:

Transition semantics

• Define the **relation** "Trans" denoted by " \longrightarrow ":

$$(\delta, s) \longrightarrow (\delta', s')$$

where δ is a program, s is the memory state in which the program is executed, and s' is the memory state obtained by executing a single step of δ and δ' is what remains to be executed of δ after such a single step.

• Define a **predicate** "Final" and denoted by " $\sqrt{}$ ":

$$(\delta,s)^{\checkmark}$$

where δ is a program that can be considered (successfully) terminated in the memory state s.

Such a relation and predicate can be defined inductively in a standard way, using the so called **transition** (structural) rules

Transition semantics: references

The general approach we follows is is the structural operational semantics approach [Plotkin81, Nielson&Nielson99].

This single-step semantics is often call: transition semantics or computation semantics.

11 / 20

Transition rules for while-programs

Transition rules for while-programs

$$Act: \frac{(a,s) \longrightarrow (\epsilon,s')}{true} \quad \text{if } s \models Pre(a) \text{ and } s' = Post(a,s)$$
$$(x := v,s) \longrightarrow (\epsilon,s')$$

special case: assignment
$$\frac{(x:=v,s)\longrightarrow (\epsilon,s')}{true} \quad \text{ if } s'=s[x=v]$$

$$Skip: \frac{(skip, s) \longrightarrow (\epsilon, s)}{true}$$

$$\mathit{Seq}: \quad \frac{(\delta_1; \delta_2, \ s) \longrightarrow (\delta_1'; \delta_2, \ s')}{(\delta_1, s) \longrightarrow (\delta_1', s')} \qquad \frac{(\delta_1; \delta_2, \ s) \longrightarrow (\delta_2', s')}{(\delta_2, s) \longrightarrow (\delta_2', s')} \quad \mathsf{if} \ (\delta_1, s) \checkmark$$

$$\mathit{if}: \qquad \frac{(\mathsf{if} \ \phi \ \mathsf{then} \ \delta_1 \mathsf{else} \ \delta_2, s) \longrightarrow (\delta_1', s')}{(\delta_1, s) \longrightarrow (\delta_1', s')} \quad \mathsf{if} \ s \models \phi \qquad \frac{(\mathsf{if} \ \phi \ \mathsf{then} \ \delta_1 \mathsf{else} \ \delta_2, s) \longrightarrow (\delta_2', s')}{(\delta_2, s) \longrightarrow (\delta_2', s')} \quad \mathsf{if} \ s \models \neg \phi$$

 ϵ is the empty program.

Termination rules for while-programs

Termination rules for while-programs

$$\epsilon: \frac{(\epsilon, s)^{\sqrt{}}}{true}$$

$$Seq: \qquad \frac{(\delta_1; \delta_2, s)^{\checkmark}}{(\delta_1, s)^{\checkmark} \wedge (\delta_2; s)^{\checkmark}}$$

$$if: \qquad \frac{ (\text{if } \phi \text{ then } \delta_1 \text{ else } \delta_2, s)^{\checkmark}}{(\delta_1, s)^{\checkmark}} \quad \text{if } s \models \phi \qquad \frac{ (\text{if } \phi \text{ then } \delta_1 \text{ else } \delta_2, s)^{\checkmark}}{(\delta_2, s)^{\checkmark}} \quad \text{if } s \models \neg \phi$$

$$while: \qquad \frac{ \text{ (while } \phi \text{ do } \delta, s) \checkmark}{true} \quad \text{if } s \models \neg \phi \qquad \frac{ \text{ (while } \phi \text{ do } \delta, s) \checkmark}{ (\delta, s) \checkmark} \quad \text{if } s \models \phi$$

Giuseppe De Giacomo (Sapienza)

Structural Operational Semantics of Program

13 / 20

Structural rules (as before)

The structural rules have the following schema:

which is to be interpreted logically as:

$$\forall$$
(ANTECEDENT \land SIDE-CONDITION \supset CONSEQUENT)

where $\forall Q$ stands for the universal closure of all free variables occurring in Q, and, typically, ANTECEDENT, SIDE-CONDITION and CONSEQUENT share free variables.

The structural rules define inductively a relation, namely: **the smallest relation satisfying the rules**.

Example

Example (transition semantics)

Compute δ', s' in the following cases, assuming that in the memory state S_0 we have x=10 and y=0:

- $(x := x + 1; x := x * 2, S_0) \longrightarrow (\delta', s')$
- (x := x + 1;

if (x < 10) then x := 0 else x := 1;

$$x := x + 1, S_0 \longrightarrow (\delta', s')$$

• (y := 0;while (y < 4) do $\{x := x * 2; y := y + 1\}, S_0) \longrightarrow (\delta', s')$

Giuseppe De Giacomo (Sapienza)

Structural Operational Semantics of Program

15 / 20

Evaluation vs. transition semantics

How do we characterize a whole computation using single steps?

First we define the relation, named Trans^* , denoted by \longrightarrow^* by the following rules:

Reflexive-transitive closure of single steps: " \longrightarrow *"

0 step:
$$\frac{(\delta, s) \longrightarrow^* (\delta, s)}{true}$$

$$n \ step: \qquad \frac{(\delta,s) \longrightarrow^* (\delta^{\prime\prime},s^{\prime\prime})}{(\delta,s) \longrightarrow (\delta^\prime,s^\prime) \ \land \ (\delta^\prime,s^\prime) \longrightarrow^* (\delta^{\prime\prime},s^{\prime\prime})} \quad \text{(for some δ^\prime,s^\prime)}$$

Notice that such relation is the **reflexive-transitive closure** of (single step) \longrightarrow

Then it can be shown that:

Theorem

For every **while**-program δ and states s and s_f :

$$(\delta, s_0) \longrightarrow s_f \equiv (\delta, s_0) \longrightarrow^* (\delta_f, s_f) \wedge (\delta_f, s_f)^{\checkmark}$$
 for some δ_f

Example

Example (Computing evaluation through repeated transitions)

Compute s_f , using the definition based on \longrightarrow^* , in the following cases, assuming that in the memory state S_0 we have x = 10 and y = 0:

- $(x := x + 1; x := x * 2, S_0) \longrightarrow S_f$
- (x := x + 1;

if
$$(x < 10)$$
 then $x := 0$ else $x := 1$;

$$x := x + 1, S_0) \longrightarrow s_f$$

•
$$(y := 0; \text{while } (y < 4) \text{ do } \{x := x * 2; y := y + 1\}, S_0) \longrightarrow s_f$$

17 / 20

Concurrency

The transition semantics extends immediately to constructs for concurrency: The evaluation semantics can still be defined but only in terms of the transition semantics (as above).

We model concurrent processes by **interleaving**: A concurrent execution of two processes is one where the primitive actions in both processes occur, interleaved in some fashion. It is OK for a process to remain **blocked** for a while, the other processes will continue and eventually unblock it.

Additional constructs for concurrency

Constructs for concurrency

 $(\delta_1 \parallel \delta_2)$

concurrent execution

if ϕ then δ_1 else δ_2 synchronized conditional

while ϕ do δ

synchronized loop

For the latter, we observe that our transition rules for if and while enforce already synchronization': testing the condition ϕ does not involve a transition per se, the evaluation of the condition and the first action of the branch chosen are executed as an atomic unit.

Note: synchronized if and while are similar to test-and-set atomic instructions used to build semaphores in concurrent programming.

Structural Operational Semantics of Program

19 / 20

Additional transition and termination rules for concurrency

The construct $\delta_1 \parallel \delta_2$ is genuinely new.

It represents concurrency by interleaving:

Transition and termination rules for concurrency

ransition:
$$\frac{(\delta_1 \parallel \delta_2, s) - (\delta_1, s) - (\delta_1, s)}{(\delta_1, s)}$$

transition:
$$\frac{(\delta_1 \parallel \delta_2, s) \longrightarrow (\delta_1' \parallel \delta_2, s')}{(\delta_1, s) \longrightarrow (\delta_1', s')} \qquad \frac{(\delta_1 \parallel \delta_2, s) \longrightarrow (\delta_1 \parallel \delta_2', s')}{(\delta_2, s) \longrightarrow (\delta_2', s')}$$

termination:
$$\frac{(\delta_1 \parallel \delta_2, s)^{\checkmark}}{(\delta_1, s)^{\checkmark} \wedge (\delta_2, s)^{\checkmark}}$$

The presence of $\delta_1 \parallel \delta_2$ makes the transition relation **nondeterministic** (NB: "devilish nondeteminism").