# **Autonomous and Mobile Robotics**

# Whole-body motion planning for humanoid robots

(Slides prepared by Marco Cognetti)

Prof. Giuseppe Oriolo

Dipartimento di Ingegneria Informatica Automatica e Gestionale Antonio Ruberti



# Motivations

**task-constrained** motion planning: find **collision-free** motions for a humanoid that is assigned a certain task whose execution may require **stepping** 

it is challanging for a number of reasons

- high number of degrees of freedom
- it is not a free-flying system motion must be generated appropriately
- the robot has to maintain equilibrium at all times (constraining the trajectory of the CoM)



# Motivations

literature approaches

- separate locomotion from task execution (e.g., Burget et al., 2013 and Hauser and Ng-Thow-Hing, 2011)
- compute a collision-free, statically stable paths for a free-flying humanoid base, and then approximate it with a dynamically stable walking motion (e.g., Dalibar et al., 2013)
- achieve acyclic locomotion and task execution through whole-body contact planning (e.g, Bouyarmane and Kheddar, 2012)

our approach

- does not separate locomotion from task execution, making advantage of the whole-body structure of the humanoid
- walking emerges naturally from the solution of the planning problem

# Overview

goal: plan whole-body motion for a humanoid over  $[t_i, t_f]$  that must execute a **task** assigned as a composition navigation and manipulation actions

assumptions:

- the task is already assigned by an higher level task-planner
- robot configuration  $\mathbf{q} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{CoM}} \\ \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{jnt}} \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{CoM}}$  is the planar pose of CoM frame

 $\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{int}}$  is the *n*-vector of joint angles

- a catalogue of precomputed trajectories for the CoM is avalaible to the planner
- each primitive has a given duration  $T_k$

solution:

• sequence of elementary joint motions,  $\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{jnt}}(t)$ , either stepping or non-stepping



4/21

# Humanoid motion model



*CoM movement primitive*: trajectories for the CoM and possibly other parts (e.g., swing foot) associated to typical human actions (e.g., walking, jumping, squatting)

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{CoM}}(t) &= \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{CoM}}^{k} + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{CoM}}^{k}) \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{CoM}}^{k}(t) \\ \dot{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathrm{jnt}}(t) &= \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{jnt}}(t) \quad t \in [t_{k}, t_{k} + T_{k}]. \end{split}$$

where

- $\bm{A}(\bm{q}_{\rm CoM}^{\it k})$  is the transformation matrix from the CoM at  $\bm{q}^{\it k}$  to the world frame
- $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{CoM}}^k$  is the pose displacement of the CoM frame relative to the pose at  $\mathbf{q}^k$
- $\circ~v_{\rm jnt}$  is the velocity command vector for the humanoid joints
- a catalogue of movements is **precomputed** (each with a given duration  $T_k$ )

# Task definition

main task: assigned trajectory  $\mathbf{y}^*(t)$  (or a geometric path  $\mathbf{y}^*(s)$  or a single point  $\mathbf{y}^*(t_f)$ ) for a relevant point on the robot (e.g., a manipulation task for one hand).

formal definition of the solution

- the assigned task trajectory is exponentially realized  $\lim_{t \to \infty} (\mathbf{y}(t) - \mathbf{y}^*(t)) = \mathbf{0}$
- o collisions with workspace obstacles and self-collisions are avoided
- position and velocity limits on the robot joints are satisfied
  - $\bm{q}_{\rm jnt,m} < \bm{q}_{\rm jnt} < \bm{q}_{\rm jnt,M}$  and  $\bm{v}_{\rm jnt,m} < \bm{v}_{\rm jnt} < \bm{v}_{\rm jnt,M}$



# Motion generation

the planner works in an iterative fashion by repeated calls to a motion generation

the motion generator is invoked to produce a **feasible**, **collision-free** elementary motion that realizes a **portion** of the assigned task trajectory

we use two interleaved procedures to generate the motions for  $\boldsymbol{q}_{\rm CoM}$  and  $\boldsymbol{q}_{\rm int}$ 

- CoM movement selection: choose a particular CoM movement from the set of primitives. It generates trajectories the CoM (and other points) of the robot
- joint motion generation: compute the joint velocity commands.
   It takes into account the trajectories generated in step 1 and the assigned task





#### 1 - CoM movement selection

it is invoked at  $t_k$  and selects  $\mathbf{u}_{\text{CoM}}^k$ , a *CoM movement* among the set of *CoM primitives* 

$$U = \left\{ U_{ ext{CoM}}^{ ext{S}} \cup U_{ ext{CoM}}^{ ext{D}} \cup \texttt{free}\_\texttt{CoM} 
ight\}$$

where

- $U_{\rm CoM}^{\rm S}, U_{\rm CoM}^{\rm D}$ : static/dynamic stepping movements
- free\_CoM: CoM free to move with both feet fixed and the robot in equilibrium

each primitive has a duration. As output, the CoM movement selector gives

- a duration  $T_k$  for the movement and a time interval  $[t_k, t_{k+1}]$
- a trajectory  $\mathbf{z}_{CoM}^*$  for the humanoid CoM in  $[t_k, t_{k+1}]$ , where  $t_{k+1} = t_k + T_k$
- a trajectory  $\mathbf{z}_{swg}^*$  in  $[t_k, t_{k+1}]$  for the swing foot (position and orientation)





#### 2 - Joint motion generation

given the output of the CoM movement selector ( $\mathbf{z}_{swg}^{*}, \mathbf{z}_{CoM}^{*}$ ), the joint motion generator produces joint configurations in [ $t_k, t_{k+1}$ ] through

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{jnt}} = \mathbf{J}_{a}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{jnt}}) \left( \dot{\mathbf{y}}_{a}^{*} + \mathbf{K} \mathbf{e} 
ight) + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{J}_{a}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{jnt}}) \mathbf{J}_{a}(\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{jnt}})) \mathbf{w},$$

•  $\mathbf{y}_a = (\mathbf{y}^T \ \mathbf{z}_{\mathrm{swg}}^T \ \mathbf{z}_{\mathrm{CoM}}^T)^T$ : augmented task vector

- $J_a$ : Jacobian matrix of  $y_a$  with respect to  $q_{\rm int}$
- $\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{y}_a^* \mathbf{y}_a$ : augmented task error  $(\mathbf{y}_a^*$  desired value of  $\mathbf{y}_a)$
- $\mathbf{J}_{a}^{\dagger}$ : pseudoinverse of  $\mathbf{J}_{a}$ .  $\mathbf{K} > 0$
- w: random *n*-vector Two possibilities:
  - $\circ\,$  walking:  $w=w_{\rm rnd},$  where  $w_{\rm rnd}$  is a random bounded-norm vector
  - non-walking:  $\mathbf{w} = -\eta \cdot \nabla \mathbf{q}_{jnt} H(\mathbf{q}_{jnt}) + \mathbf{w}_{rnd}$ ,  $\eta > 0$ , whose aim is to add an action pushing the CoM towards the centroid of the support polygon





# The planner

for each iteration



- (a): a random sample  $y^*_{\rm rand}$  is chosen from the assigned task trajectory, and its projection on the ground is computed
- $\circ$  (b): an high-compatibility configuration  $\boldsymbol{q}_{\mathrm{near}}$  is extracted from the tree
- (c): a CoM movement of a certain duration is selected from catalogue. It defines reference trajectories for CoM and swing foot (dashed red and blue) as well as the portion of the assigned task to be executed (green)
- (d): joint motion is generated to simultaneously realize the chosen CoM movement and the portion of the task
- if the motion is feasible and collision-free, the final configuration  $\bm{q}_{\rm new}$  is added to the tree; otherwise, the procedure is repeated

Planning experiments: video



## Task-Oriented Whole-Body Planning for Humanoids based on Hybrid Motion Generation

M. Cognetti, P. Mohammadi, G. Oriolo, M. Vendittelli

Robotics Lab, DIAG Sapienza Università di Roma

February 2014

#### Planning experiments: video



#### Whole-Body Motion Planning for Humanoids based on CoM Movement Primitives

M. Cognetti, P. Mohammadi, G. Oriolo

Robotics Lab, DIAG Sapienza Università di Roma

september 2015

# Motivation



task constraints (either explicit or implicit) may prevent motion planners from finding a feasible solution

# Overview

main limitation:

the task is supposed to be assigned a priori

features:

- the task is automatically modified, if needed
- the task is modified iteratively
- the humanoid model is still 
  $$\begin{split} \mathbf{q} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{CoM}} \\ \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{jnt}} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where} \\ \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{CoM}} \text{ is the planar pose of CoM frame} \\ \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{jnt}} \text{ is the $n$-vector of joint angles} \end{split}$$
- a catalogue of precomputed trajectories for the CoM is available to the planner
- $\circ\,$  the task is a deformable curve whose shape may be deformed acting on control points  $\sigma\,$

solution:

• sequence of elementary joint motions  ${f q}_{
m jnt}(t)$ 



# Task definition

initial task: path  $\mathbf{y}^{[0]}(s)$  and a time history  $s^{[0]}(t)$  in  $[t_i, t_f]$  for a relevant point on the robot (e.g., one hand)

formal definition of the solution

• the final task trajectory is realized:

$$f(q^*(t)) = y^*(s^*(t)) = y^*(t), \quad t \in [t_i, t_f]$$

- the robot maintains static or dynamic equilibrium
- all collisions with obstacles are avoided
- $\circ\,$  joint limits and velocity bounds, respectively expressed in the form  $q_{\rm jnt,m} < q_{\rm jnt,M}$  and  $v_{\rm jnt,m} < v_{\rm jnt} < v_{\rm jnt,M}$ , are satisfied



# Overview



main idea

- the constrained motion planner is invoked on y<sup>[0]</sup>
- the algorithm proceeds until either it reaches the final task point  $\mathbf{y}(t_f)$  or it repeatedly fails to go beyond a certain  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} \neq \mathbf{y}(t_f)$
- in the second case, the task is modified using a **deformation mechanism** which acts at the **limit task point**  $\tilde{y}$  (defined as the furthermost task point met by the tree)
- the constrained motion planner is invoked again on the deformed task
- this deformation-planning cycle is repeated until a solution is found

# What is missing...



two missing components (w.r.t. previous work)

- detect when the task path y<sup>[i]</sup>(s) has to be deformed chosen policy the number of failed expansions from the limit task point ỹ exceeds a predefined threshold
- how to deform the assigned task path  $\mathbf{y}^{[i]}(s)$



# What is missing...



two missing components (w.r.t. previous work)

- detect when the task path y<sup>[i]</sup>(s) has to be deformed chosen policy the number of failed expansions from the limit task point ỹ exceeds a predefined threshold
- how to deform the assigned task path  $\mathbf{y}^{[i]}(s)$



# What is missing...



idea: path closer to the CoM

idea: path away from obstacle

chosen policy if expansions from  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$  fail for **joint limit violations**, robot-based heuristic is chosen if expansions from  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$  fail for **collisions**, obstacle-based heuristic is chosen

#### Planning experiments: video



#### Whole-body Planning for Humanoids along Deformable Tasks

M. Cognetti, V. Fioretti, G. Oriolo

Robotics Lab, DIAG Sapienza Università di Roma

September 2015

# Publications

- M. Cognetti, P. Mohammadi, G. Oriolo, M. Vendittelli. "Task-Oriented Whole-Body Planning for Humanoids based on Hybrid Motion Generation". In 2014 IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. on Intelligent Robots & Systems Systems (IROS14), Chicago, Illinois, USA, Sep. 2014
- M. Cognetti, P. Mohammadi, G. Oriolo. "Whole-Body Motion Planning for Humanoids based on CoM Movement Primitives". In 15th IEEE-RAS Int. Conf. on Humanoid Robots, Seoul, Korea, Nov. 2015
- M. Cognetti, V. Fioretti, G. Oriolo. "Whole-body Planning for Humanoids along Deformable Tasks". In 2016 IEEE Int. Conf. on Robotics and Automation (ICRA16), Stockholm, Sweden, May 2016

Conclusions

# Thank you!